Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

USING REGULATIONS AS MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES 3

PIGEON.0714





AIR QUALITY AND ITS MANAGEMENT

he Clean Air Act of 1955 established specific National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six compounds: carbon monoxide, ozone, particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons. Subsequent reauthorization and amendments (especially in 1970) provided for uniform air quality standards and control of emissions from existing facilities. That is, the regional U.S. Environmental Protection Agency offices or individual state regulatory agencies must monitor air quality, and design and implement plans to improve air quality to NAAQS-levels.

The Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990 (Pub. Law 101-549) also included several provisions of concern to producers of agricultural products. The most immediate of these concerns are the contribution of manure decomposition to ammonia emissions and the impact of manure handling on fugitive dust.

Particulate Matter

Particles of solid or liquid material suspended in air can cause eye and throat irritation and hamper visibility. To date, the air quality standard for particulate matter (PM) concerns compounds above 10 micrometers (µ) in aerodynamic diameter or PM10. Regulation is pending, however, to set the standard at PM25. This new rule, originally drafted to take effect in the summer of 1997, received widespread criticism and was not enacted. If or when it is enacted, ammonium nitrate — which is created by photochemical reaction between ammonia and nitric acid — will become a larger part of the total problem since the resulting particles are larger than 2.5µ.

Reducing the formation of particulate matter benefits air quality — and everyone benefits from cleaner air. So even without regulations, growers will likely do whatever they can to reduce ammonia emissions, that is, to reduce the moisture content of litter. Indeed, they have — sometimes for other reasons — already adopted management practices that will help achieve this goal, such as maintaining waterers in good condition, applying alum treatments to litter (i.e., bedding materials), and composting litter and mortalities.

Technological controls, such as installing equipment to scrub ammonia before the air is vented from buildings, may be more costly than the problem warrants Some technological controls may be helpful; however, care should be taken to ensure that a technology devised to control a specific problem, such as the generation of methane gas, is not expected to remedy other problems. For example, covered lagoons will not do much to solve the fugitive dust problem.

It is important for growers to be aware of regulatory concerns and evaluate their management practices and prospective technologies holistically. The best practices are effective, economical, and do no harm to the environment.

Gaseous Emissions

Goals of the 1990 Clean Air Act include reducing emissions to the air that cause acid rain and protecting stratospheric ozone. Thus, ammonia (NH3) volatilization from animal and other agricultural operations is subject to increased scrutiny.

A variety of gases are generated during the decomposition of poultry wastes. Under aerobic conditions, carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the principal gas produced; under anaerobic conditions, the primary gases are methane (CH₄) and

(CO₂). About 60 to 70 percent of the gas generated in an anaerobic lagoon or pit is methane and about 30 percent is (CO₂). Trace amounts of more than 75 other volatile compounds have been identified from degrading animal waste, including mercaptans (the odor generated by skunks and the smell introduced in natural gas are in the mercaptan family), aromatics, sulfides, various esters, carbonyls, and amines.

Methane, Carbon Dioxide, Ammonia, and Hydrogen Sulfide

The gases of most interest and concern in poultry waste management are methane, carbon dicxide, ammonia, and hydrogen sulfide. The following paragraphs summarize the most significant characteristics of these gases.

▼.Methane. Methane gas forms during the breakdown of animal wastes, if the decomposition process is anaerobic. Because methane is quite explosive, extreme care is required when attempting to generate and capture this gas for on-farm use.

Further, methane emissions from "rice and livestock production" and from "all forms of waste management . . . including storage, treatment, and disposal" are specifically mentioned as a concern in the 1990 Clean Air Act. Methane accounts for about 18 percent of the greenhouse gases that contribute to ozone depletion.

▼ Carbon Dioxide. Carbon dioxide can be an asphyxiant when it displaces normal air in a confined facility. Because CO₂ is heavier than air, it remains in a tank or other well-sealed structure, gradually displacing the lighter gases. With high-density housing, gas and particulate levels may increase, and control becomes more difficult.

Carbon dioxide can increase substantially inside the poultry house, depending on the number of birds producing it. Continued monitoring of temperature, air removal rate, and manure moisture content is required to maintain proper carbon dioxide concentrations.

Nitrous Oxide and Ammonia. Ammonia is primarily an irritant and has been known to create health problems in animal

confinement buildings. Irritation of the eyes and respiratory tract are common problems from prolonged exposure to this gas. It is also associated with soil acidification processes.

Ammonia concentration in broiler houses has increased in the past few years. The primary reason is that ventilation rates are reduced to conserve heat in the winter months. Research also shows that dust particles serve as an ammonia transport mechanism, so venting to the outside may lead to odors near the house and contribute to overly dry litter inside the house.

Ammonia concentration increases with increasing pH, temperature, and litter moisture content. It is desirable to maintain litter moisture in a production house below 30 percent for ammonia control. Studies indicate that ammonia increases bird susceptibility to Newcastle disease and decreases feed intake and egg production.

Nitrous oxide is produced during the breakdown of nitrogen fertilizers in soil, animal wastes, and nitrate-contaminated groundwater, although its major source is the burning of fossil fuels. Nitrous oxide accounts for about 6 percent of the greenhouse gases related to human activity.

▼ Hydrogen Sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide is deadly. Humans and farm animals have been killed by this gas after falling into or entering a storage tank or building in which a storage tank was being agitated. Although only small amounts of hydrogen sulfide are produced as compared to other major gases, this gas is heavier than air and becomes more concentrated over time.

Hydrogen sulfide has the distinct odor of rotten eggs. Hydrogen sulfide deadens the olfactory nerves (the sense of smell); therefore, even if the smell of rotten eggs appears to have disappeared, an area may still be contaminated with this highly poisonous gas. Forced-air ventilation or an exhaust system helps prevent gas poisoning. Otherwise, evacuate the area until the gas can be removed.

Methods used to capture and treat these gas emissions will have the additional ad-

vantage of reducing odor. They include the use of covered storage pits or lagoons, soil adsorption beds and filter fields, and applying litter with soil incorporation. Technological controls are possible, for example, installing packed bed scrubbers in the poultry house or composting area (or wherever the manure is processed. Newer methods include burning the manure to produce energy, which is discussed in AT/2.

Issues Not Directly Related to Air Quality

Nuisance issues, odor, flies, dust, and noise are generally regulated at the state or local level. They can be, but are not usually related to air quality standards, even though many citizen complaints and civil suits brought against livestock and poultry operators may reference such standards. These problems are more often related to improper or mismanaged burial pits, emissions from incinerators, and land applications of poultry waste. They are intensified by increasing urbanization, unanticipated adverse weather conditions, and specific, often seasonal, activities in the production cycle. Other fact sheets in this handbook deal with these potential problems.

Where to Go for Help

Information on achieving air quality standards and managing air quality problems related to poultry production facilities is available from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the U.S. Department of Energy. Poultry associations and state water quality agencies can also help.

References

- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1992. Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook. AWMFH-1. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, DC.
- Barker, J.C. 1988. Poultry Layer Production Facility Manure Management High Rise, Deep Pit. EBAE 131-88. North Carolina Agricultural Extension Service, Raleigh.
- Carr, L.E., F.W. Wheaton, and L.W. Douglas. 1990. Empirical Models to Determine Ammonia Concentrations from Broiler Litter. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 33(4):1337-42.
- Kling, H.F., and G.O. Bressler. 1974. Gas and Particulate Lévels in Sloping Wire Floor Poultry Houses. PR 342. Agricultural Experiment Station, Pennsylvania State University, University Park.
- Morse, D. 1996. Understanding Fugitive Dust and Ammonia Emissions. In Proceedings 1996 National Poultry Waste Management Symposium, ed. P.H. Patterson and J.O. Blake. National Poultry Waste Management Symposium, Auburn University Printing Service, Auburn University, AL.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water OEI/3 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

AIR QUALITY AND ITS MANAGEMENT 3





Controlling Odors — Multiple Purpose Management

Uritil the 1950s, many small poultry farms were in rural areas and produced fresh meat for city dwellers. The owners were backyard producers or family farmers (like your parents or grandparents) who raised chickens for their neighbors to bake or fry on Sunday. But the demand for chickens and eggs grew rapidly, and an assembly line model of continuous poultry production and processing raised the stakes.

Now breeder and hatchery operators, feed mills and chicken processing plants are "integrated" — owned by a single company — and the backyard farmer has retired. The farmer now contracts with the integrator to grow thousands of chickens under controlled conditions.

No sooner were these changes underway when a second trend developed: the migration of many nonfarming residents to settle in rural areas. The collision of these trends in the same watershed — that is, the concentrated animal feeding operations of today's farms and a burgeoning rural population — can lead to mutual misunderstandings. The community often feels that growers are making their lives unnecessarily pungent; and growers, many of whom have been there longer than the town, feel much maligned. Both sides have valid concerns.

A Confusion of Complaints

Concentrated animal feeding operations, as many livestock farms are called, have come under considerable scrutiny. One reason for the attention is concern for the animals' welfare. In fact, chickens and turkeys maintained in a well-managed poultry house can be as comfortable as those raised outdoors, and may be freer of

disease. Veterinary doctors, university faculty, and growers are not likely to abandon their responsibility or respect for the animals, simply because they have moved them indoors.

Birds must be kept comfortable, and the wise grower does not forget the birds themselves, who by their behavior speak eloquently of their condition: they huddle when cold, pant and flap their wings when hot; and migrate to better areas if the problem is localized. The grower who cares about the birds will be a frequent visitor in the poultry house, coming and going at odd hours to monitor conditions (such growers will not, however, disturb the birds when it is very hot).

The condition of the litter is another indicator of bird comfort - as are temperature, humidity, air flow patterns and speed, ventilation cycles, and gaseous air contaminants. Many of these indoor indicators require engineering systems and mechanical monitoring. For example, growers may want to use a negative pressure system to bring outside air into the poultry house. The goal is to maintain the right static pressure and adjust the air inlets so that fresh air comes in high, shoots to the middle of the house, and stays high as it moves toward the center aisle. If it is properly mixed with the in-house air before it flows over the birds, it will help control odor and prevent cold air from flowing directly over the birds.

Human health and the environment are likewise of great concern to growers. Concentrated animal feeding operations can generate as much or more waste as an urban population, and agricultural runoff does not, like some other wastewater discharges, pass through a

treatment plant. Environmentally sound animal waste management is, then, an urgent, but by no means impossible, priority. Growers who use best management practices to ensure proper waste management — from collection and storage to composting to land applications and value-added processing (e.g., to sell as a feed ingredient, fertilizer, or soil amendment) — will not be polluters and their facilities will not produce intolerable odors.

Tackling Odor Head on

The basic complaint associated with concentrated animal feeding operations is odor. Even though odor is generally more irritating than dangerous, it often evokes outrage from neighbors. Many growers, who may previously have ranked odor among the least pressing of their problems, are now encouraged to make it a priority. Odor, like flies, is ubiquitous and unlikely to be totally eliminated. But it can be controlled. Wherever strong odor is a problem, the most recent tendency is to treat it as a pollutant and quite possibly to find the grower in noncompliance with regulations.

Odor may be endemic to feed lots, houses, litter storage facilities, lagoons, and land applications, but its strength, or nuisance quotient, depends on site-specific conditions and management procedures, such as location, sanitation practices, season, climate, time of day, and wind direction and speed. Having an appropriate poultry waste management system is essential, and the most useful odor prevention measures are therefore found throughout the factsheets included in this handbook.

Litter is a naturally occurring biodegradable waste. Ammonia and other nitrogen compounds and some gases generated in the decomposition process are the primary sources of the offending odor. If the decomposition process occurs in the presence of sufficient oxygen, few odors are produced. However, anaerobic decomposition produces many odorous and some dangerous gases. At least 75 odorous compounds can be produced in the decomposition process, including, for example, volatile organic acids, aldehydes, ketones, amines, sulfides, thiols, indoles, and phenols. For this reason, it is good management to store litter in a covered, dry stack facility, and to follow

spreading by a method of incorporating the litter into the soil. Properly applied litter increases plant growth and contributes to natural nutrient recycling (see PWQ/3) with no environmental damage and little odor.

How Odor Affects Us

The physiological sense of smell, which is perhaps never as keen as sight or hearing, can vary as much as a thousandfold from person to person, and can be affected by age. Thus, for example, children under age 5 seem to like all smells; children over 5 do not; though one's sense of smell decreases with age. At age 20, people have 80 percent of their physiological sense of smell; at age 80, about 28 percent. Other things that make a difference in one's sense of smell are smoking habits, allergies, and head colds.

Behavioral responses to odor are equally diverse. Some individuals can be genuinely unaware of odors that are a nuisance to others. In addition, ammonia and hydrogen sulfide should be monitored since both suppress the sense of smell. Odor fatigue makes it impossible to smell certain odors, while simple adaptation also accustoms one to certain smells. However, studies to determine the effects of odor on people living near confinement facilities or on farms where litter is managed show that olfactory receptors renew themselves every 30 days; that frequency and duration are weather related, and that odors can definitely affect people's moods and nervous systems and cause depression and nausea.

Within these limits, it is possible for individuals to sense the presence or absence of an odor—even when they cannot quantify its five basic properties: intensity, degree of offensiveness, character, frequency, and duration. The more accustomed we are to odors; the higher the threshold must be before we detect them.

Updating Standard Practices

As good management is the key to controlling odor, so keeping up with new developments is important for all managers. New developments are part technological breakthroughs and part trial and error; and many of them have been discovered by farmers solving real life problems. Consider, for example, the growers' concern for the birds' welfare and for controlling

2 CONTROLLING ODORS: MULTIPLE PURPOSE MANAGEMENT

odor. Choices that the grower makes before and during production, for example, about which bedding material to use and what diet to feed the birds, may contain some of the trade-offs the grower is looking for.

Some growers report that they have gotten good results — better flock health and less odor — by using recycled paper, leaves or other green manure as an alternative to straw bedding material. Others have found that the addition of phytase to the birds' diets helps reduce both ammonia volatilization and odor since the more efficiently the chickens use phosphorus, the less they have to excrete. And, as the long-term effect of this choice may well be that less phosphorus is available for land applications, the grower who uses phytase obtains a three-way trade: less nuisance odor, less environmental damage, and better bird performance.

Other management choices for laying operations that reduce odor, contribute to the flock's performance, and protect the environment include flushing the houses with clean water, keeping the waterers in good condition, and drying the manure. The use of separation and setback distances, riparian and other buffers and windbreaks, and restrictions on land applications on frozen ground or when rain is predicted — also help control odor and contribute to the growers' bottom line and reputation for good citizenship.

Above all, growers should not try to cover up odors by putting their heads in the sand, blaming other farm sites, or thinking of their neighbors as city slickers unused to earthy smells. Nor should they rely on the other kind of cover up: the one that uses chemicals or other additives to mask the odor. Most of the claims for commercial products are still unconfirmed.

Here, then, is the fundamental principle: Know the causes and cures. Unless growers know how odors are generated; that is, the factors producing them, they cannot know what control practices can be used to counter their effects. Once we have a grip on the causes, four basic strategies are available.

 Of most importance, prevent odor from developing in the first place. It bears repeating: locate the poultry facility away from other farm buildings and residences, handle litter in a dry state as much as possible, and remove all mortalities and broken eggs, and spilled feed immediately.

- Alter the unpleasant smells by chemical or microbiological treatment. That is, use a collection and storage treatment that can include drying the litter, composting, anaerobic digestion, and disinfection.
- ▼ Contain the odors; prevent their escape into the atmosphere by regular washdowns for layer operations to minimize dust and feathers, and by using well-maintained waterers, good ventilation equipment, and bedding materials that repel moisture.
- ▼ Disperse and dilute odors once they do escape into the atmosphere. For example, consider the wind direction and other weather conditions before applying litter, and plant or take advantage of natural windbreaks, riparian forests or buffers, and injection or other soil incorporation methods to reduce the odor associated with land applications. In addition, exhaust fans can be pointed away from other buildings or down to the ground so that stale malodorous air is deflected into the ground near the housing facility.

Methods used to capture and treat gas emissions are needed to protect air quality and to reduce odor. They include the use of covered storage pits or lagoons, soil adsorption beds and filter fields, and appropriately planned land applications. Odors associated with toxic gases are protective; noxious smells, on the other hand are a nuisance and leave us feeling unprotected. The former trigger safety precautions; the latter, evoke the strongest possible repugnance, and may increase rather than decrease now that scientists are coming up with ways to measure odor.

Adjudicating claims between noses is a risky business. However, growers are not alone in this effort. Assessments of on-farm conditions can be a helpful management tool and a powerful support in contested cases. Local, state, and federal natural resource agencies, the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, and the Cooperative Extension Service can help growers assess their management systems, prepare appropriate resource management plans,

CONTROLLING ODORS: MULTIPLE PURPOSE MANAGEMENT 3

and learn how to maintain simple, but sufficient records to show that their operations are effectively managed to prevent both odor and environmental contamination.

Conclusion

The best way to deal with odor problems is at the beginning of the production cycle and through a commonsensical approach. The problems have mundane origins; they may be related to improper or mismanaged burial pits, emissions from incinerators, or land applications and intensified by increasing urbanization, unanticipated adverse weather conditions, and specific, often seasonal, activities in the production cycle. Other fact sheets in this handbook deal with these practices.

Simultaneously, however, the chemical basis of odors, variations in detection thresholds, and differences in the degree of offensiveness make it imperative to handle the problem of odor via litter management and public relations. Attitudes must always be taken into account since odor is better accepted by

individuals who see the grower as a friend, community member, and neighbor. Protecting natural resources and improving relationships may be the long-term solution to abiding in the same watershed.

References

- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology. 1997.
 May 27. Integrated Animal Waste Management.
 http://www.netins.net/showcase/cast/watq_sum.htm (November 2, 1997).
- University of Minnesota. 1997, March 1. Strategy for Addressing Livestock Odor Issues. Feedlot and Manure Management Advisory Committee's Livestock Odor Task Force Report and Recommendations https://www.bae.umn.edu/extens/manure/lotir.html (August 11, 1997).
- Powers, Wendy. 1994. Odor Abatement: Psychological Aspects of Odor Problems. National Poultry Waste Management Symposium, Athens, GA.
- Sweeten, John. 1994. Odor Abatement: Progress and Concerns. National Poultry Waste Management Symposium, Athens, GA.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1992. Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook. AWMFH-1. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

OEI / 4 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM
6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411
Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

4 CONTROLLING ODORS: MULTIPLE PURPOSE MANAGEMENT





PREVENTING FIRES IN MANURE/LITTER STORAGE STRUCTURES

tructures have been built as a component of a total waste management program on the poultry farmstead. Storage facilities help prevent the possibility of water pollution and provide flexibility in the timing of land applications. They also protect this resource from the weather and wildlife so that it can be used as a fertilizer or cattle feed.

Manure piles will generate heat, however, and care should be taken to prevent fires in the storage facility. Spontaneous combustion in a litter stack is possible, probably as a result of the buildup of combustible methane from the storage of wet and dry litter. Fires may also occur if the manure is stacked too close to wooden walls that may ignite when the temperature in the litter reaches the wood's flash point. The exact causes of litter storage fires are difficult to know, but good management principles will help protect the litter and reduce the risk of fire.

Methane Production

Anaerobic bacteria generate about 50 to 65 percent methane, about 30 percent carbon dioxide, and a smaller percentage of other gases. If the moisture content of stored litter is more than 40 percent in a stack with little or no oxygen, then conditions are right for anaerobic bacteria to grow and methane to result. Unvented landfills have the same problem. Methane's specific gravity is less than air, however. If the stack has adequate pore spaces (or the landfill has ventilation pipes), the methane will escape into the atmosphere.

High moisture levels in stored litter help create the potential for fires, as does layering the manure (putting new litter on top of old litter). Compacting the litter will trap heat in the pile, and failure to provide an adequate ratio of surface area to volume can also create problems.

Tips for Fire Prevention

The following guidelines will help prevent fires in storage facilities:

- Keep the litter dry and do not stack it too near the open end of the building where it is more likely to get wet (methane is flammable in air).
- ▼ Do not compact moist cake or mix it with dry litter; and do not stack cake or dry litter higher than 5 feet or store it against the wood.
- Do not compact the dry litter, since compacting creates anaerobic conditions and prevents the natural venting of methane.
- ▼ Do not cover moist litter but allow the litter to vent naturally.
- ▼ Monitor the resources in your storage facility regularly, and remove any materials that have temperatures greater than 180 °F. If the temperatures exceed 190 °F, notify the fire department and prepare to move the material. Emptying the storage area will bring the litter out into the air, so precautions must be taken against a fire occurring at this time.

It is a good idea not to store expensive equipment in the litter storage facility.

If you are storing dry litter for later use as a cattle feed, cover it with polyethylene. This technique will suppress the temperature buildup and reduce the production of bound nitrogen, a form of protein that cattle are unable to digest.

References

Soil Conservation Service. 1993. Preventing Fires in Litter Storage Structures. Guide AL-39 in Alabama Poultry Waste Management: Waste Utilization and Facility Design Workbook. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Auburn, AL.

Donald, J.O., and J.P. Blake. 1995. Preventing Fires in Litter Storage Structures. Circular ANR-915. Alabama Cooperative Extension Service, Auburn University, AL [check ref., may be same as first one.]

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

OEI / 5 ---- 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 5100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411

Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

2 PREVENTING FIRES IN MANURELLITTER STORAGE STRUCTURES





CONTROLLING STRUVITE BUILDUPS

ragnesium ammonium phosphate, sometimes called struvite, is a grayish-white crystalline salt that builds up on the internal pump and piping surfaces used for lagoon liquid recycling. It usually appears on metallic surfaces but can also form on plastics. Steel, cast iron, bronze, and brass are equally susceptible.

Struvite usually builds up on the internal pump components first, then moves outward to the discharge pipes. It often occurs at pipe joints, elbows, valves, or imperfections because microscopic grit and solids tend to lodge at these points, providing a base for the salt to grow. Predicting struvite is difficult because its cause is not well known. Design, maintenance, and management techniques have been researched that can reduce the buildup to acceptable levels.

Pumping and Piping System

Use only high-quality, low-pressure, self-priming centrifugal or submersible pumps. They should not be oversized in relation to the piping network, and should perhaps be on a timer. The suction pipe should also be large enough to prevent pump cavitation. Normally the suction pipe diameter should be one size larger than the discharge pipe. Locate the pump close to the high-water level to minimize suction lift. Replace fine mesh suction intake strainers with wire screens or baskets of 1-inch mesh or larger. The diameter should be at least five times the diameter of the suction pipe. Struvite will also build up on the screens.

Use nonmetallic pipes and fittings. Pipes should be large enough to maintain flow velocities between 3 to 5 feet per second; the minimum pipe diameter at any point except at the

immediate discharge point should be 1.5 inches. Sharp pipe bends (elbows and tees) should be avoided. Instead, use flexible plastic pipe and long sweep elbows for the direction changes. The system (pumps and piping) should have sufficient capacity to work only one-half to two-thirds of the time, and piping systems not in continuous use should be drained between pumping events.

Electrostatic Charges

Stray voltage is also believed to contribute to struvite. Direct grounding of the pump housing can discharge any static charges. A metal rod should be placed 10 to 12 feet into the moist soil near the lagoon's edge, and cable connections at the ground rod and pump should be checked periodically for corrosion.

Lagoon Management

Lagoons should be properly sized. New ones should be charged at least half full of water before startup, and the liquid level should be brought up to design levels as soon as possible. Rainfall during normal years dilutes lagoon liquid while extended periods of hot, dry weather increase nutrient and salt levels and the rate of salt buildup in recycling systems. Flushing with fresh water or irrigating with a portion of the lagoon contents may help.

Acid Cleaning

Salts can be dissolved with dilute acid treatments. Several doses followed by flushing the spent acid solutions will be needed to treat heavy buildups. A more thorough and more costly method is to install an acid recirculation loop. Use a 150-gallon acid-resistant tank as the reservoir. You will need enough solution to fill

the pipe length and some in reserve to keep the recirculation pump primed. Use the accompanying table to determine how much acid you will need.

Table 1.—Amount of solution needed for acid cleaning using an acid recirculation loop.

DIAMETER OF PIPE (INCHES)	SOLUTION NEEDED PER FT OF LENGTH (GALLONS)
1.0	0.06
1.5	0.13
2.0	0.20
2.5	0.29
3.0	0.43
. 4.0	0.70
6.0	1.53

To reduce the size of the tank, isolate sections of the line with valves and circulate the acid through only one section. The flush pump suction is switched from the lagoon and connected to the bottom of the acid tank with a

quick-connect coupling. A 1-inch line returns acid from the end of each treated pipe section to the tank.

Hydrochloric acid can be purchased at most chemical supply houses or paint stores. Dilute the acid with water on a 1 to 9 ratio — 1 gallon acid to 9 gallons of water. Use caution. Mixing acids with water is a hazardous operation. Partially fill the tank with water, then add the acid slowly to the water. Eye protection is essential, and heat will be generated. To treat heavy struvite buildups, recirculate the mixture overnight and count on using the mixture only once. Spent acid may be dumped into the lagoon. Acids currently cost about \$14 for a 15-gallon drum or about \$33 for a 50-gallon drum. Deposits on the drums are \$25 and \$50, respectively.

References

Barker, J.C. 1981. Crystalline (Salt) Formation in Wastewater Recycling Systems. EBAE 082-81. North Carolina Agricultural Extension Service, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Payne, V.W.E. 1993. Personal Communication. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Aubum, AL.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

OEI / 6 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

2 CONTROLLING STRUVITE BUILDUPS





PROTECTION AGAINST PESTS, PREDATORS, AND DARKLING BEETLES

Pests are vectors because they may be a point of entry for disease or other nuisances in the poultry house. They are also an aspect of waste management that should not be overlooked. Vectors can be either living or nonliving carriers of disease. Especially troublesome on the poultry farm are house flies, rats, and darkling beetles. Wildlife, especially feral dogs and coyotes, must also be controlled. Having proper waste management facilities and maintenance procedures on the farm will contribute to productivity, nutrient management, and environmental safety. A cost-effective and safe pest control system is essential.

Uncontrolled pests cause irritation to birds and workers, carry poultry disease pathogens, increase mortality, lower carcass grades and production, damage building materials, and interfere with feed conversion. In addition, and if they did nothing else, poultry pests must be carefully controlled because they can migrate from litter to nearby residences, where they may become a serious nuisance among the neighbors.

Rats and Mice

Voles, field mice, and cotton rats are not usually the source of problems for poultry growers. Norway rats and roof rats, however, are two non-native species of rats that can be trouble-some — and they proliferate rapidly. A pair of rats will produce six to 12 young in 21 days — and each of these becomes sexually mature in three months. A single pair of rats, if they find food, water, and shelter, can produce a colony of 640 rats in a year.

Poultry houses provide everything the rats need: food, water, and shelter. Norway or wharf rats usually nest under buildings and concrete slabs and in garbage dumps. They are great burrowers and may have an extensive system of burrows under the poultry house, with several escape routes. They eat anything but prefer nuts, grains, meats, and some fruits. They can easily find meats and grains in the poultry house.

Roof or black rats are more aerial than Norway rats. They enter buildings from the roof or utility lines. They usually live in trees, so access to the poultry house is easy: up the walls, across vines, along pipes. Exterior walls should be hard, flat surfaces, and all entrance holes should be plugged up. Rats can make themselves "paper thin" to come in under doors and through holes as small as one-half inch in dimeter.

The best rat control program is proper resource management, maintenance, and sanitation; but the food supply in the poultry house makes rat occupation probable. Some chemical controls or rodenticides may, and probably should be, added to your control program. To administer rat poison effectively, first know how many rats you are dealing with; then, establish bait stations near the walls in areas of rodent activity.

To determine how many rats are in the poultry house, observe the area at night as well as in the daylight. Rats are nocturnal; if you see no rats in the day or at night, there probably are not many around. If you see old droppings or gnawed areas, no rats during the day, and only a few at night, rats are probably pre-

sent in medium numbers only. Finally, if you see fresh droppings and tracks, some rats during the day, and three or more at night, large numbers are probably present.

To control the infestation, use single or multiple doses of a rodenticide in the bait stations. Avoid making the rats sick; if they get sick and do not die, they will become bait-shy and not eat the poison. Place the bait stations appropriately and protect them from moisture, dust, and weather to encourage the rats to eat from these stations. Rats, like many animals, prefer fresh food

Because rats are colorblind and have poor eyesight, rodenticides can be marked for safety. If other conditions make poisons inadvisable, rats can be trapped with common snap traps, glue boards, or in live traps.

Darkling Beetles

Known as litter beetles, lesser mealworms, or "black bugs," the darkling beetle (Alphitobius diaperinus) is found in large numbers in poultry houses, in the woods, and around feed bins. These black or reddish-brown beetles are troublesome in turkey and broiler production because deep litter and open-floor housing provide an ideal habitat in which the beetles can survive and reproduce.

The total effect of darkling beetles on poultry production is not known. They may be more problematic as a nuisance than as a vector (carrier of disease). However, beetles are thought to harbor a number of disease organisms — for example, fowl pox, E. coli, Salmonella spp., Newcastle disease, and avian leukosis — and to be involved in the transmission of the causative organism for Marek's disease, although immunization against Marek's disease is now available. Darkling beetles are also an intermediate host for poultry tapeworms and cecal worms. If they are in litter that is land applied, their possible effects on wildfowl must be considered.

An undisputed second concern related to the darkling beetle is that they can damage the insulation in poultry houses. Larvae bore into the insulation to find safe places to pupate. But adult beetles who eat the pupae soon enlarge the larval turnels in their search for an easy meal. Birds and mice then claw at the insulation to get at the adult beetles, larvae, and pupae. In a severe darkling beetle infestation, as much as 25 percent of the insulation can be lost in a single year.

Another potential problem arises if infested litter is spread on crops. Adult beetles may migrate from the field into nearby residences; the result can be a nuisance complaint to the health department — and sometimes lawsuits.

Temperature and moisture affect the amount of time an insect needs to complete its life cycle. Temperatures between 60 and 100 °F and moisture levels above 12 percent are optimum for its survival. Food sources, decaying litter, an occasional bird carcass, and the absence of major predator and parasite complexes in the poultry house help the beetle population to increase.

The life cycle of the beetle takes 35 to 60 days to complete. The adult female lays eggs individually or in clusters at intervals of one to five days throughout her life cycle. The eggs hatch into tiny larvae after four to seven days and grow through five to nine stages, called instars. This period lasts for seven weeks; then the beetles pupate in cracks and crevices, in the soil and lower strata of the litter, and in building insulation. The pupal state lasts for seven to 11 days, after which a new adult emerges.

To manage darkling beetles effectively requires monitoring, cultural practices, and some insecticide applications. Treatment should be maintained regularly, even if beetle numbers are low. Individual beetles or larvae (100 or fewer per house) pose no problem; however, their presence indicates a need for continued monitoring, ideally on a weekly basis, from the time the birds are brought into the house until they are removed. Visual inspection is the best way to monitor the open-floored, deep litter house. The grower should look at litter, carcasses, tracks and crevices, equipment, and insulation at intervals of 30 to 40 feet throughout the house.

- ▼ Litter should be examined along walls, around support posts, and under brooder hoods and feeders. Dig down 1 to 2 inches in caked litter to look for small, early instars.
- Keep litter dry and consider using recycled paper as the bedding material. Some recy-

cled paper is treated with boric acid that creates a fog when first applied. The fog settles in about two hours. The litter can be replaced after the third flock each year, but no other treatment for darkling beetles should be necessary (i.e., when using the boric-acid treated recycled paper litter.

- Carcasses should be examined during daily collections. A large number of beetles on a large number of carcasses may point to a heavy infestation.
- Equipment and cracks and crevices are favorite beetle habitats. Be sure to check the framing joints and other cracks as well as the brooder guard, house dividers, drinkers, and feeders.
- Insulation in new houses should be checked for clusters of small holes along seams, in corners, at the eaves, and along the gable. Insulation board may also be discolored. If mice damage appears, look also for beetle tunnels. In older houses, it will be hard to distinguish between old and new beetle damage.

Trapping beetles has also been used to control their numbers. Traps can be made using a 2-inch schedule 40 PVC pipe, a 10-to-12-inch section for each trap. Put a roll of corrugated cardboard (brooder guard) inside the pipe, and place six or so traps between the wall, feeder, and brooder locations from one end of the house to the other. To prevent the birds from moving the traps, stake the traps in place. Remove the cardboard and count the beetles on a weekly schedule. Their presence or a rapid rise in their number indicates a need for treatment.

Cultural methods for controlling beetles are nonchemical ways to reduce the pest population. Cold weather is the most effective measure, and proper litter handling is also an essential for good control. If the weather cooperates, open the house to the cold between flocks. If the temperature drops below 30 °F, all stages of the darkling beetle will die. As soon as the birds are moved, the grower can remove litter and litter cake from the poultry house. Darkling beetles will move to protected areas in the empty house within a few days; therefore, moving the litter before that time will more effectively control the beetle population.

Fresh litter that is applied to cropland should be incorporated to prevent any return of the darkling beetle. Stockpiled or composted litter should be turned every two weeks to promote enough heat to kill beetle eggs and larvae.

Although all insecticides registered as controlling darkling beetles will work, none controls the house for more than one flock. Therefore, a treatment program should be maintained year-round. Most products remain active about a week and are designed to be applied when the birds have been removed from the house. The best time for application is on the first day after the birds have been removed followed by cleanup immediately on the second day. Treating the house again — and its outside perimeter — just before the placement of a new flock, is also useful. Surface sprays, dusts, and balts are available for making these applications.

Beetles love temperatures between 70 and 90 °F; they are nocturnal and can be found everywhere. Seeing them during the day is a sure sign of infestation — of their presence in great numbers. Young chicks will eat them. Darkling beetles can fly up to one mile a night. If a million or so are taken from a house, 15,000 of those taken will return in the direction of the house from which they came. Approved insecticides are Rabon, Sevin, and boric acid compounds. Best control methods are careful cleanout and spraying.

Beetles cause reductions in feed conversions and weight gains, and possible disease. Under dry conditions, they will eat the flesh of dead or down birds, and at night crawl up the feathers of resting birds and bite the skin around the feather follicals. Bitten birds may have weeping skin lesions or pink and swollen areas around the feather follicals that resemble skin leukosis. The birds are forced to wander all night instead of eating and sleeping as they would in properly managed houses.

Darkling beetles are a general nuisance because they are attracted by light; therefore, they will crawl out of the litter and move toward the light at night. Large numbers of beetles on or in houses create a negative public image and give rise to complaints against the broiler producer. To prevent migration, spray the house walls and posts, or use well-sealed, angled, metal

flashing attached to pit walls at posts and masonry frame wall joints.

Coyotes and Feral Dogs

Coyotes and feral dogs are opportunistic feeders. If they live in the area, their presence around a poultry house is not remarkable. They will kill the poultry for food, but they can easily be prevented from gaining access to the house. Complete confinement of the poultry is the best way to stop predation. Heavy wire should be used to cover all openings. Sanitation and the proper disposal of mortalities will cut down on the attraction of coyotes to the area.

Predator calling and shooting may be used in most states to harvest these animals. Predator calling is a mechanical device that attracts the animals within shooting range. Trapping is also an effective control method. Traps and trap sizes as well as hunting and trapping seasons may be regulated in some places. Leghold traps that do not harm the animal or traps with padded jaws may offer the best control in some situations.

Controlling animals and pests in poultry houses involves a combination of resource management, sanitation, and exclusion, and some special measures such as chemicals, hunting, or trapping.

References

Arends, J. No date. Darkling Beetle Control Using Disodium Octaborate Tetrahydrate (Red Zone). North Carolina State Newsletter. North Carolina Extension Service, Raleigh.

Armstrong, J.B. 1990. Rat Control in Poultry Houses. DTP Circular 12/90-016. Cooperative Extension Service, Auburn University, Auburn, AL.

—— 1990. Controlling Coyotes and Dogs Around Poultry Houses. DTP Circular 12/90-017. Cooperative Extension Service, Auburn University, Auburn, AL.

Gall, A. 1980. Are Lesser Mealworms Worth the Trouble They May Cause. Poultry Digest 39(456): 76-77.

Pennsylvania State University. No date. Darkling Beetles, and Pest Management Recommendations for Poultry. No signatures. Lancaster, PA.

Skewes, P.A., and J.L. Monroe. 1991. Research Note: The Effects of Darkling Beetles on Brotler Performance. Poultry Science 70:1034-36.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

OEI / 7 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

4 PROTECTION AGAINST PESTS, PREDATORS, AND DARKLING BEETLES





Protection Against Pests — Controlling Flies

rorment, the presence of pests is an ongoing concern that readily appears — numerous species of flies can breed in litter and manure, come to maturity (some in as few as seven days; most in two weeks), and disperse up to a mile or more from their breeding place. Manure handling systems must be carefully managed to prevent these annoying creatures from spreading disease (always a serious problem) or becoming a public nuisance and a focus for bad feelings.

Identifying the Enemy

Moist litter is not only a threat to surface and groundwater; it is also an ideal breeding ground for flies. Caged layer operations are the most susceptible to this problem, followed by breeder farms and, occasionally, broiler farms. Wherever poultry houses are susceptible to flooding, or litter is stored outdoors, the potential exists for fly-control problems.

Several species, including house flies (Musca domestica), blowflies, and Fannia spp., are bothersome, but it is the common house fly that creates the greatest outrage and danger. It crawls over filth and food products, breeds in all kinds of organic matter (plant material, spilled grains, and animal wastes), and reproduces by the thousands. A nuisance? Yes, but also a carrier of disease for animals and people.

Flies, which generally become active in the early spring (mid-March in many areas), have four stages of development egg, larva, pupa, and adult. Most generations require about two weeks to develop. Females will produce 120 to 150 eggs in three or four days, and hatching occurs between eight and 24 hours later. House flies can complete their entire life cycle in as

few as seven days; therefore, many of the newly hatched 150 flies will also breed within a few days. Twenty to 30 generations in a fly season is not unusual. As many as 1,000 flies can develop in a single pound of suitable breeding material. The actual rate of development depends, however, on the temperatures and moisture levels in the breeding area.

Management of manure so that it is not conducive to fly breeding is the most effective means of control. Fresh poultry manure generally contains 60 to 80 percent moisture. Fly breeding in this material can be minimized by reducing the moisture content to 30 percent or less. This reduction also encourages the development of beneficial insects which can displace developing houseflies or serve as predators of fly eggs and larvae, or both.

Dry manure management is practiced under two types of systems: (1) frequent manure removal (at least weekly), and (2) long-term, inhouse storage of manure. Frequent manure removal systems to prevent fly breeding are based on weekly (or more often) removal, spreading, and drying of manure to break the fly breeding cycle. This system is effective if done regularly and thoroughly, but it requires adequate agricultural land where manure can be spread.

In-house storage of manure calls for drying the manure to about 30 percent or less moisture level and the capacity to maintain this level for up to a year. Where sufficient storage space is available, dry manure can be maintained for several years before being removed.

Once removed, land application is generally made. When poultry litter is applied, it should be spread thinly to promote drying. If fly larvae are in the litter, then incorporating it

into the soil as quickly as possible will help break the fly development cycle.

Good housekeeping and management practices that keep manure and litter dry are a first line of defense against flies. A partial list of such practices includes the following:

- Water troughs or cups should be free of leaks, drips, and condensation. The water pressure should be properly adjusted (to prevent dripping) and an on/off cycle should be used (to control condensation).
- Adjust the floor/grade relationship if the water table is high or if outside water can penetrate the house.
- Provide abundant cross-ventilation and avoid excessively high temperatures.
- Prevent dysentery with antibiotics, if necessary, and avoid foods that have a known laxative effect.
- Avoid excessively high house temperatures that encourage abnormal water intake.
- ▼ Use absorbent litter materials.
- Consider combining deep pit manure storage with composting for layer operations.

Chemical Controls

Under certain conditions, insecticides may be used to control adult flies in barns and poultry houses. But these products should be reserved for critical times when the management system breaks down, because flies quickly develop resistance. Insecticide applications may be regulated in some states and should be handled carefully to minimize any harmful effects associated with toxic ingredients.

By increasing their focus on outcomes, rather than inputs, growers will find that they have many more tools than insecticides to help them control flies. Consider composting, for example. Undisturbed litter that is free of moisture will slowly begin to compost naturally, and it will support a large number of predators and parasites that feed on fly larvae. These predators include beetles, mites, and parasitic wasps. Scavenger insects help aerate the litter

and make it less suitable for fly development. Take care, however, to leave the litter undisturbed; time is needed to encourage the buildup of the beneficial insects. Schedule complete cleanouts, therefore, in the off-fly seasons, and make only spot applications of insecticides in the meantime to reduce the potential for resistance to insecticides.

Integrated Pest Management

Among many reasons to include new waste management practices and beneficial insects in the battle against flies is the dawning recognition that flies are not going to be eradicated. Instead, an integrated and routine program to control them must be implemented and practiced throughout each year. Other reasons to integrate pest management measures involve changes in our understanding of and attitudes toward pesticides:

- ▼ the choice of effective pesticides that can be safely applied is limited;
- flies develop resistance to even the most potent pesticides,
- avoiding insecticide residues in animal tissues and other products is essential, and
- pesticides are included in a general concern for the effects of agricultural chemicals on the environment.

Pesticides should be used, therefore, as part of an integrated system and with proper attention to practices that will minimize these concerns. Thus, consider insecticides as supplemental to good housekeeping and waste management, and use space spray with no residue to gain immediate control.

Use sprayers made especially to form aerosols that will remain in the air long enough to catch the adult fly and make the application early in the morning before the flies fly up to ceilings and support posts. Using portable equipment may help the applicator reach some difficult areas around the house.

Fly baits in wet or dry form can also be used as supplements to other methods. Liquid baits must be prepared by the applicator and brushed or sprayed on fly resting areas. Larvicides can be applied to manure below the cages and around waterers, but treating manure

2 PROTECTION AGAINST PESTS: CONTROLLING FLIES

regularly (and throughout the facility) is not recommended. Such a practice is costly, the flies quickly develop resistance, and the treatment will also kill the beneficial insects.

Some growers may want to investigate other practices, for example, feed-through larvicides or the commercially available parasitic wasps, or soldier flies, which reduce the volume of waste and crowd out houseflies.

Sticky fly papers and spot cards can be used to monitor the presence of flies. Spot cards are plain white cards stapled so that each side is available for the flies to rest on. The resting flies leave brown regurgitation and fecal spots on the cards, which are then retrieved and the spots counted. Chemical treatments are advised if the grower finds 50 spots per card per week. (The cards also provide a handy record of conditions — and indicate the grower's use of controls — should such a record be needed.

Some type of regular "scouting" or inspection schedule should be used throughout the year to determine where and when the fly population is developing, and therefore where and when to use cultural practices or pesticides. It can also help the grower determine the effectiveness of the control program overall.

References

- Axtell, R.C. 1985. Arthropod Pests of Poultry. Pages 269-295 in Livestock Entomology, R.E. Williams et al., editors. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- Collison, C.H. 1996. Manure Management Strategies to Control Flies. In Manure Management for Environmental Protection. Reprinted as Fact Sheet. Pennsylvania Cooperative Extension, Pennsylvania State University, Lancaster, PA.
- Nolan, M.P. Jr. 1988. Control External Parasites and House Flies Around Poultry Operations. Bulletin 723. Cooperative Extension Service. College of Agriculture, University of Georgia, Athens.
- Strother, G.R. 1990. Fly Control in Poultry Operations. DTP Circular 12/90-015. Cooperative Extension Service, Auburn University, Auburn, AL.
- Williams, R.E. 1990. Fly Control in Poultry Houses. E-3.
 Cooperative Extension Service, Purdue University,
 West Lafayette, IN.
- Poultry Producers. Poultry Times, June 2, pp. 1, 5, 19.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water OEI /8 — 9/98 for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

PROTECTION AGAINST PESTS: CONTROLLING FLIES 3





CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS

gricultural runoff is one of pollution that threatens the water quality in rivers and lakes of the United States. Water that flows off the land after precipitation events picks up fertilizers and animal wastes that have been applied to the soil and deposits them in lakes and rivers.

If the runoff is uncontrolled, it causes soil erosion and increases the presence of suspended solids, which can contain nutrients, pesticides, herbicides, and metals, in the water. Flooding and the degradation of rivers, streams, and lakes are possible consequences. Nonpoint source pollution can also threaten groundwater quality as the same pollutants leach through the soil.

Runoff can be controlled. Best Management Practices (BMPs) can be adopted as part of the poultry grower's operating procedure. For example, stormwater can be diverted from poultry houses and manure storage areas, and land applications can be made when no storms are predicted. In addition, the arsenal of BMPs now includes the use of constructed wetlands for treating runoff and wastewater.

Functions of Constructed Wetlands

Constructed wetlands are not considered to be waters of the United States; but components of a wastewater treatment system. Therefore, if there is a discharge from a constructed wetlands, a federal or state discharge permit may be required.

A constructed wetlands is a designed structure, or set of structures, that attempts to replicate the functions of a natural wetlands. As with natural wetlands, they support water tolerant or aquatic plants and their soils are saturated (waterlogged) or covered with shallow

water for some part of the year. However, since constructed wetlands are designed to treat wastewater efficiently and effectively, they generally do not fulfill all the functions provided by a natural wetlands (e.g., they do not recharge groundwater or contribute to the creation of hydric soils).

The constructed wetland is the heart of the treatment system. It cleans wastewater by filtering and settling solids, decomposing organics, and adsorbing/absorbing other pollutants such as phosphorus and trace metals. The dissolved organic pollutants are removed by a complex group of microbes (bacteria, fungi, algae, and protozoa) that live in the wastewater and on plant and sediment surfaces. Since waste materials are food for most of these microbes, pollutants are gradually converted through complex food cycles into environmentally less damaging by-products (gases that escape to the air and inert solids that stay in the system).

The primary purpose of wetland plants is to provide a place for these microbes to attach and grow. Generally, treatment effectiveness increases with plant density, which allows a larger quantity of attached microbes to exist within the system. The density of plants also affects flow hydraulics. Uniform flow is enhanced by uniform plant densities, but variable densities create short-circuiting which reduces the retention time and treatment effectiveness of the wetland. In addition, plants make the system attractive and provide food and shelter for wildlife.

The system remains effective during winter because the microbes are still present on the dead stalks, stems, and roots of the vegetation. Because the biological processes slow down during winter, wetland systems are typically sized to meet treatment objectives during cold weather.

Designing Constructed Wetlands

Constructed wetlands can effectively treat poultry industry wastewaters, including stormwater runoff. These wetlands are designed by engineers and built to restore, enhance, or replace the physical, chemical, and biological processes in natural wetlands. They are typically used as polishing cells following conventional primary treatment facilities such as lagoons, settling basins, or septic tanks. The integrated treatment system provides a higher quality wastewater that may be recycled or discharged to a receiving stream if appropriate permits are obtained.

In addition, the volume of treatable wastewater may be substantially reduced during the growing season because of evapotranspiration by the plants. For example, a poultry producer currently having difficulty with overflowing lagoons during wet weather now has the option of adding constructed wetlands, which can be used to treat a portion of the lagoon wastewater during the growing season. Typically the wastewater in the wetlands will be evapotranspired, but any effluent can also be recycled as process waste or as irrigation water.

Constructed wetlands consist of one or more "cells" of wetland plants in series or parallel. Construction can be easily accomplished. Excavate the area to shape the bottom of the wetlands and build small dikes around it. Line the bottom and sides of the excavated areas with clay or a synthetic material. Use PVC pipe to distribute and collect wastewater and to control water levels in the wetland. Water levels are normally shallow — about 3 to 12 inches. Uncontaminated runoff can be diverted from the system by berms or other buffers or grading.

A lagoon, detention basin, or other type of solids trap is used in front of the constructed wetlands to remove heavy or coarse solids. Some contaminated runoff contains high sediment loads and decomposing organic matter that may settle in bottom deposits. Because these deposits can adversely affect the hydrology and life forms in the wetland, the solids trap is particularly important.

Most wetland systems for treating agricultural related wastewaters will not be larger than one or two acres. In general, they should not be located in areas with steep topography, shallow topsoil, or limited space. They must be properly constructed to ensure groundwater protection. Federal, state, or local cost-share funds may be available for constructed wetlands.

Management

Wetland plants include mixtures of cattails, reeds, bulrushes, sedges, and grasses that are normally native to the area. The plants provide the right conditions for the microorganisms that live in the wetlands and break down the pollutants.

Pond and wetland systems are particularly effective because ponds can be designed to catch the stormwater and slowly release it to the wetlands following the storm. This technique keeps the wetlands wet for longer time periods, which can be especially important during dry seasons.

The systems need little routine maintenance but should be inspected periodically to detect any loss of plants, leakage through the dikes, clogging of the pipes, mosquitoes, or short-circuiting of the flow. These problems and others are usually easily corrected.

Properly managed constructed wetlands are cost effective, energy efficient, and simple to operate. They accept varying pollutant loads, attract a variety of wildlife, and add diversity to the farm landscape. Above all, constructed wetlands can help achieve clean water.

Information on the design and construction of wetlands for managing wastewater is available from USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service local offices, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

References

- Olson, R.K. 1993. Created and Natural Wetlands for Controlling Nonpoint Source Pollution. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Schueler, T.R. 1992. Design of Stormwater Wetlands Systems. No. 92710. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Washington, DC.
- Tennessee Valley Authority. No date. Natural and Constructed Wetlands. Fact Sheet in cooperation with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. No place of publication.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1990. Uses of Wetlands in Stormwater Management. Watershed Management Unit, Water Division, Region 5, Chicago, IL.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1991. National Bulletin No. 210-1-17. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

AT / 1 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS 3

PIGEON.0735





Using Litter to Generate Heat and Electricity

Incineration of on-farm poultry mortalities increases in popularity as new technologies add affordability to perceived environmental and health advantages that incineration can offer over other methods of dead bird disposal. Now, engineering and technological developments are occurring to determine if burning litter is a feasible alternative or complementary to other methods of poultry litter management.

Examples of two loosely related, developing alternatives are presented here: the first burns air-dried litter to produce energy for regional distribution; the second, collects litter in a fluidized-bed combustion system and uses it to heat the poultry house. Applying these methods to poultry litter management requires considerable research and development because they have so far been too expensive to use in small systems.

Using Air-dried Litter as an Alternative Fuel

The first in a new breed of commercial electricity generating stations fueled by poultry litter was introduced in the United Kingdom in 1992. Today, the plant, which cost \$30 million has a gross output of 14.2 megawatts.

The plant is fired on about 143,300 tons of litter per year (roughly the same energy as would be derived from 66,139 tons of coal). Special road vehicles deliver the material to the plant's storage bunker. The area of production is within a 31-mile radius of the plant.

Environmentally, this technology has a lot to offer:

▼ it creates demand for the product that effectively prevents the excessive

application of litter on agricultural fields, thereby protecting water resources and restoring nutrient balance;

- gases produced in the process are low in major pollutants and after treatment in a three-stage electrostatic precipitator are suitable for discharge to the atmosphere;
- it is low in cost and continuously available; and
- the ash by-product is high in potash and can be removed from the plant in bulk and used as a component of manufactured agricultural fertilizers.

Fluidized-bed Combustion

Fluidized, or bubbling, bed combustion has been used, worldwide, in industry, for more than 20 years. Now some agricultural and government researchers and others in the poultry and waste management industries are probing the usefulness of burning poultry litter in a fluidized bed combustion system.

The objectives of modifying or otherwise developing this technology are twofold: first, to determine whether this method can dispose of litter efficiently and cleanly; and second, whether this biomass is a suitable raw material for energy production.

Recent claims suggest that the technology has many applications:

- the generation of hot gases for heating and drying;
- ▼ the generation of electrical power;
- the generation of steam and pressurized systems to suit process inlet requirements.

If so adapted, it would have several advantages:

- modular designs that are inexpensive and easy to install;
- reduced power consumption to save operating costs;
- ▼ adaptable to a variety of waste streams should conditions change; and — of most importance to some poultry growers —
- ability to burn waste materials having an extremely high moisture content.

If these and other technologies for converting litter to energy are successful, they will help expand potential uses for litter, increase environmental well-being, and contribute to economic sustainability.

References

Dagnall, S.P. 1992. Poultry Litter as Fuel in the UK.— A Review. Proceedings of the Incineration Conference, Albuquerque, NM.

Vayda, S. 1996. Marketing Package Addressed to the Poultry Water Quality Consortium. Vayda Energy Associates, Inc., Hampstead, MD.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

AT / 2 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM
6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411
Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6507

2 USING LITTER TO GENERATE HEAT AND ELECTRICITY





ALTERNATIVE BEDDING — SELECT MATERIALS MAY HAVE HIDDEN VALUES

In the Near East (Morocco), straw is the conventional poultry litter. Recent drought conditions, however, have depleted supplies, forcing growers to pay more and settle for less — or find alternatives. This story is repeated in many regions: the conventional bedding materials and the cause of the shortage may vary, but the race is on for finding suitable alternatives.

A further impetus to trials involving litter materials is the challenge growers face each day: the perception, whether imagined or real, that they must do more to help meet state and regionally mandated reductions in the total volume of wastes stemming from human activities (rural and urban). These "goals" are generally 25 to 40 percent of the total volume produced at the time the goal was set. Particular goals depend on the overall status of resources in the watershed.

The search for alternative litter supplies has environmental consequences on both counts. First, used litter constitutes a large part of the material that each grower must land apply, compost, convert to energy, or otherwise dispose of or recycle. Second, the choice of litter materials may have unexpected benefits: some producers have found that using shredded paper as a litter material reduces odor and provides a nearby market for the town's newspaper recycling program.

Straw

The Moroccan study tested alternative litter materials on cockerel performance and litter quality. The materials investigated included soft wheat straw (whole or ground), rice straw (ground) wood shavings and rice hulls, alone

and in combination. The birds' performance, water consumption, and leg injuries or defects were measured; and the various litters were compared for moisture content, pH, temperature, overall cleanliness scores, and buildups of dust and ammonia.

Differences were noted in the litters, but they were inconclusive. However, straw-based litters had the highest moisture content, pH values, and temperatures, and they received the lowest scores when subjectively rated. Notwithstanding this finding, all materials tested, including straw, were deemed suitable bedding materials, singly or in combination with other materials.

Evaluating Alternative Materials

Fine-textured particleboard residue, a by-product of the wood manufacturing industry that usually ends up in landfills, has been proposed as a way for poultry growers to compensate for the increasing scarcity of hardwood or pine shavings in Indiana. In this case, the shortfall in conventional bedding materials may be driving the search for alternatives but the alternatives themselves — for example, sand, particleboard, newspaper, rice and peanut hulls, ground com cobs, cereals, and grasses — are turning up some surprising trade-offs.

Previous investigations of litter sources correlated the type of bedding with significant differences in bird performance and carcass and litter quality. Thus, the quantitative and qualitative properties in each kind of litter should be taken into consideration before litter is purchased and placed in chicken houses.

Evaluating the performance of the litter generally involves a comparison of two or

more types of litter and a litter prepared from the same ingredients but combined to study the effects of using both at one time. Other management and environmental practices, for example, dietary arrangements, types of feeders and waterers, and litter removal or replacement must be handled the same way throughout the trial in all pens included in the experiment.

Parameters chosen to measure the effects, if any, of the litter on the birds' condition and on the quality of the bedding include the birds' weight gain, feed conversion, mortality, and water consumption; and the degree of caking, pH, ammonia nitrogen, temperature, dust, and moisture conditions in the litter. In the Moroccan trials, for example, each of the materials included in the experiment tested as "suitable" for litter, though straw got lower index values for cleanliness. No statistical differences could be found in the way these materials acted, and no correlation was observed between increased litter moisture levels and leg abnormalities.

Investigators concluded therefore, that even litter materials that may warrant minor complaints, for example, straw, can be used as needed. They could be used as a base, for example, and top-dressed with less available but more preferred materials, such as sawdust or wood shavings. Future studies may profitably assess the litter microbiological parameters and carcass side-effects.

Litter Alternatives Tested in Indiana

Fine and coarsely textured particleboard litter trials carried out on male turkey farms in Indiana yielded good results; the particleboard, containing less moisture to begin with produced a cleaner, drier product initially. It was drier and had less bacteria and mold on day zero.

The birds raised on fine particleboard had several advantages over the pine shavings and coarse particleboard, including fewer breast buttons and leg abnormalities and a 0.22 kg gain in muscle deposition, which off-set a 0.16 kg reduction in market body weight (as compared to birds grown on the traditional litter).

Coarse particleboard, on the other hand, has jagged edges. The birds suffered some foot-pad dermatitis, but not to a level to cause concern. Therefore, coarse particleboard is also an acceptable litter material for use on male turkeys.

Sand

Sand is another material that shows continuing potential as an alternative bedding material. In recent trials, chicks were randomly assigned to litter treatments of either sand or pine shavings. The birds' health and performance were compared at 50 days of age; carcass grade and yield and foot pad lesions were examined by processing 10 male and 10 female birds per pen; and bacterial counts were determined at the end of the trial by analyzing pooled litter samples taken from each pen.

No differences were found in body weights, mortality, or feed conversion in the birds; and no significant differences were found in their carcass grade or yield or foot pad lesions. Likewise, no differences were found for litter moisture or litter temperatures. Abdominal fat yields, however, were significantly lower for the birds grown on sand litter, and the sand pens also had significantly lower E. coli and aerobic plate counts than the pine shavings pens (6.09 and 7.25 CFUs/g, respectively). The trials continue; however, sand is already an acceptable alternative.

New or Recycled Paper

Broiler growers in the Northwest tested virgin and recycled paper-mill waste as an alternative to fir shavings and rye grass. The results showed no difference in the birds' four- or seven-week body weights, feed conversion rates, or mortality. However, the houses containing the short fiber pulp and paper waste litter produced less caking.

In northern Georgia, the Chestatee-Chattahoochie Resource Conservation and Development Council, in cooperation with the North Georgia Waste Management Authority and local poultry integrators, evaluated various recycled paper products as poultry bedding. The recycled paper proved to be equal to or better than the traditional wood shavings, sawdust or rice bulls

Coffee Bean Hulls, Straw, Wood, and Paper

Kentucky tested coffee bean hulls, wheat straw, wood shavings and two kinds of paper ("mixed paper and recycled hardback books" and "mostly hardbacks") The birds showed no

2 ALTERNATIVE BEDDING: SELECT MATERIALS MAY HAVE HIDDEN VALUES

significant differences in body weight, feed consumption and efficiency, breast blisters, or leg abnormalities. However, the caking effect and temperature were highest in wood shavings. The litter temperature in all cases was higher than the ambient temperature.

An Interesting Corollary

Pending additional study, growers can use a variety of materials to substitute for or to replace conventional bedding materials, and therefore some measure of control over failing supplies or rising prices, and other factors than supply and demand can influence this choice.

So what about the use of products (e.g., recycled paper, plant residues, or sawdust and chips generated in wood product manufacturing) that nobody else wants? Can we really use paper diverted from landfills, such as newspaper and old phone books?

Those who have tried it think we can. In their view, bedding made from recycled paper is cleaner than some other bedding materials. It is higher in density and absorbency, provides additional pest control, and helps control odor. In the house, its proponents suggest, it lets chicks grow in less stress; and in the field, it decomposes quickly.

At the present time, paper makes up about 38 percent of the waste stream. Using it as a bedding material presents an interesting possibility.

References

Benabdeljelil, K. and A. Ayachi. 1996. Evaluation of Alternative Litter Materials for Poultry. Journal of Applied Poultry Research 5:203-209.

Hermes, J.C., H.S. Nakaue, and C.A. von Bargen Gould. 1996. Studies on Virgin and Recycled Pulp and Paper Mill Waste Short Fiber as an Alternative Bedding Material for Broilers. Presentation at the 85th Annual Meeting of the Poultry Science Association, Inc., July 8-12, in Louisville. KY.

Hester, P.Y., D.L. Cassens, and T.A. Bryan. 1996. The Applicability of Particleboard Residue as a Litter Material for Male Turkeys. Poultry Science 76:248-255.

Montenegro, G.I., S.F. Bilgili, J.B. Hess, M.K. Eckman, and D.E. Conner. 1996. Comparison of Sand and Pine Shavings as Litter Sources for Broilers. Presentation at the 85th Annual Meeting of the Poultry Science Association, Inc., July 8-12, in Louisville, KY.

Pescatore, A.J., A.H Cantor, K.Ryan, M.L. Straw, and M.J. Ford. 1996. Evaluation of Alternative Bedding Materials on Broiler Performance, Litter Quality, and Temperature. Presentation at the 17th Annual Meeting of the Southern Poultry Science Society, January 22-23. in Atlanta, GA.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

AT / 3 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

ALTERNATIVE BEDDING: SELECT MATERIALS MAY HAVE HIDDEN VALUES 3





PHYTASE SUPPLEMENTS — A FEED ADDITIVE THAT IS GOOD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

that land applications of poultry litter and manure and mortality compost based on nutrient management planning are helping to protect the environment. But nutrient management plans also have an anomaly: namely, they are too often based on nitrogen alone. The practice has inadvertently contributed to a build up of phosphorus in soils that far exceeds plant needs and is easily released to the environment. The problem is compounded because phosphorus is an important dietary nutrient for poultry, and high levels of phosphorus are found in poultry waste.

The solution, it now appears, must be twofold: we must stop applying so much phosphorus to the land — in some regions, no
phosphorus at all — and, if possible, we must
find ways to limit the available phosphorus in
poultry waste. Phytase, an enzyme that increases the availability of naturally occurring
phosphorus in poultry diets while decreasing
the level of phosphorus found in poultry waste,
may be part of the solution.

Adequate dietary phosphorus is a requirement for healthy birds, and inorganic supplements of calcium and phosphorus are normally included in their diet — sometimes at extremely high levels — to guard against leg weakness, improve bone density and egg quality, and enhance weight gains. However, when phytase is used as a dietary supplement, both calcium and phosphorus supplements can be greatly reduced.

Phytase has a positive effect on bird growth: according to trials performed at the University of Mirmesota, which linked the use of phytase supplements to profitability (i.e., to the bird's market value). Tests described by BASF Animal Nutrition, the U.S. marketer of phytase under the brand name Natuphos, indicate that turkeys fed 73 percent of recommended inorganic phosphorus supplements, 100 percent of the recommended calcium, and 500 units of phytase per kilogram of feed resulted in 20 percent higher net returns than for turkeys fed the conventional way. A positive net return was also noted for birds fed 52 percent of the recommended phosphorus supplements and 200 units of phytase per kilogram of feed. Birds fed inadequate levels of phosphorus without phytase performed poorly and resulted in negative net returns.

Other Studies

Other projects to evaluate phytase have demonstrated similar results.

- Research funded by the Georgia-based U.S. Poultry and Egg Association in 1996 showed that feeding phytase and a vitamin D3 derivative to broilers reduced the birds' need for dietary phosphorus.
- Phytase supplements have also been shown to improve calcium and phosphorus use in commercial layers as determined by egg shell quality, feed consumption, egg production, and egg weight.
- Other benefits of phytase supplements that have been demonstrated indicate that they can correct the adverse effects of egg production associated with low dietary phosphorus and significantly reduce the impact of low dietary calcium on bird health.

Broilers and hens on corn-soybean diets supplemented with phytase also showed significant linear responses to increasing levels of phytase. The study concluded that phytase increased the use of dietary phosphorus.

References

- Anon. 1996. Minnesota Study Shows the Profitability of Phytase Supplementation on Turkeys. Poultry Times, May 19, 1997, p. 31.
- Carlos, A.B., and H.M. Edwards, Jr. 1996. Phytase Improves the Natural Phytate Phosphorus Utilization of Laying Hens Fed a Corn-Soybean Diet. Presentation at the 17th Annual Meeting of the Southern Poultry Science Society, January 22-23, in Atlanta, GA.
- Carlos, A.B., A.B. Kasim, and H.M. Edwards, Jr. 1996. Evaluation of the Responses to Graded Levels of Phytase in Broilers. Presentation at the 85th Annual Meeting of the Poultry Science Association, Inc., July 8-12, in Louisville, KY.
- R.W. Gordon, and D.A. Roland, Sr. 1996. Influence of Phytase on Caicium and Phosphorus Utilization in Commercial Laying Hens. Presentation at the 85th Annual Meeting of the Poultry Science Association, Inc., July 8-12. in Louisville, KY.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

AT / 4 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

2 PHYTASE SUPPLEMENTS: A FEED ADDITIVE THAT IS GOOD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

Case 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC Document 2120-4 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 05/29/2009 Page 30 of 50 POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 5720 Uptain Road Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 Fax: 423 855-6607

PIGEON.0743





POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

The poultry industry and three government agencies have joined together to form the Poultry Water Quality Consortium to promote better environmental management by the rapidly growing poultry industry.

The Consortium encourages the use of poultry by-products as a resource rather than letting them become a pollution source. As the industry grows, protecting natural resources is becoming a major priority, demanding new technologies in poultry by-product development, storage, utilization, and land application.

The Consortium is responding to this environmental challenge by promoting cooperation and the exchange of information between the poultry industry and government agencies on water quality and by-product utilization issues. Focusing on pollution prevention, the Consortium will facilitate the development and transfer of new technologies designed to protect water quality and promote a clean environment.

Members of the Consortium

- ▼ U.S. Poultry & Egg Association
- ▼ Tennessee Valley Authority
- ▼ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- ▼ U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

Contact

Larry Goff, Liaison Poultry Water Quality Consortium 6100 Building, Suite 4300 5720 Uptain Road Chattanooga, TN 37411 tel: 423 855-6470 fax: 423 855-6607

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water RI / 1 — 9/98 for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM
6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411
Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607





U.S. POULTRY AND EGG ASSOCIATION

Founded in 1947, the U.S. Poultry & Egg Association is the largest and most active poultry organization of its kind. Known as the "All-Feather Organization," the association addresses the changing needs of those involved in producing and processing poultry and eggs.

The U.S. Poultry & Egg Association is dedicated to the growth and development of the poultry industry and represents the entire industry — from the producers of eggs, broilers, and turkeys to the processors of poultry and egg products and the many allied companies serving the industry.

U.S. Poultry & Egg has a long-standing commitment to promoting continuous improvement in environmental management by the poultry industry.

Services Available to Poultry Growers

U.S. Poultry is best known for its annual International Poultry Exposition, held in January in Atlanta, Georgia. The Expo features the world's largest display of technology, equipment, and supplies used to produce and process poultry and egg products.

Continuing education is a high priority. The association's seminar program has expanded into a comprehensive schedule of workshops and clinics to keep the poultry industry informed. Twelve seminars are held each year.

Through its government relations program, U.S. Poultry and Egg keeps Congress and federal agencies aware of industry needs, and informs members of government actions.

The association's research program returns millions of dollars to the industry. Research grants are used to find better ways of producing poultry and egg products. Members are kept aware of industry developments through the distribution of newsletters, reports, and memos.

Contact .

Don Dalton, President U.S. Poultry & Egg Association 1530 Cooledge Road Tucker, GA 30084 TEL: (770) 493-9401 FAX: (770) 493-9257

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

RI / 2 — 9/98

FOULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607





USDA NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), an agency within the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), administers national soil and water conservation programs with the cooperation of landowners and operators in local soil conservation districts and other government agencies. It has traditionally provided technical and financial assistance to the U.S. agricultural community to help individuals plan, design, and implement waste management systems and other conservation projects. In addition, NRCS offers education, research, and database development.

The NRCS focuses on nonpoint source pollution and its effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals, and people. Potential agricultural contaminants include pesticide residues, nutrients, salts, trace minerals, and sediment. To help the agricultural community treat or prevent water quality problems, NRCS promotes economically feasible and practical measures, such as the environmentally safe management of dead birds, litter, and manure; the development of nutrient management plans; and the construction of litter storage facilities.

NRCS also encourages voluntary approaches to solving resource problems as it works to insure a continuing exchange of information.

Services Available to Poultry Growers

Through its conservation practices, the NRCS provides planning, design, and construction assistance on waste treatment lagoons, manure and litter dry-stacking facilities, poultry mortality facilities, management, and nutrient management plans based on soils, crops, and equipment availability. It also serves as technical representative for USDA cost-share programs to implement nutrient and poultry mortality management systems and, in some cases, provides financial as well as technical assistance in special project areas. The NRCS works closely with state regulatory agencies in waste management.

Contact

For more information about NRCS programs and assistance, call or visit the NRCS office listed in your local telephone directory under U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411.

Tel: 423-855-6470 • Fax: 423-855-6607





TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is committed to develop and implement programs and activities that will assist agriculture and agribusiness to protect the environment. Protecting water quality is a major concern of TVA, as illustrated by its ongoing projects related to nonpoint source protection.

TVA has established close ties with federal and state agencies, universities, and private organizations concerned with water resources management and nonpoint source control and, therefore, is in an excellent position to identify, demonstrate, and transfer poultry by-product resources technology to potential users.

Services Available to Poultry Growers

TVA's programs and projects primarily deal with helping prevent or reduce impacts of the poultry industry on the environment. This service is accomplished through educational workshops and demonstrations in cooperation with other federal and state agencies.

Contact

Richard Strickland Tennessee Valley Authority P.O. Box 1010 Muscle Shoals, AL 35662-1010 Tel: (205) 386-2542 Fax: (205) 386-2542

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607





U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

is dedicated to improving and preserving the quality of the environment and reducing risks to human health and the environment. Point and nonpoint sources of pollution are addressed under the Clean Water Act.

Certain poultry production, processing and rendering plants are regulated as point sources and may be required to obtain a permit. However, many of EPA's efforts to prevent or reduce water pollution associated with poultry byproducts involve nonpoint source pollution. EPA helps states develop their nonpoint source assessments and management programs and provides assistance to implement nonpoint source control practices.

EPA believes the Poultry Water Quality Consortium will lead to greater cooperation between the poultry industry and government agencies on water quality and by-product utilization, thus reducing environmental and health risks and benefiting agriculture and the larger community.

Services Available to Poultry Growers

EPA administers a variety of nonpoint source control programs to address animal waste problems associated with smaller operations.

Currently, funds are provided to states under section 319(h) of the Clean Water Act to help them implement nonpoint source management programs including, for example, demonstrations of poultry composting facilities or development of educational manuals or regulations to address poultry by-products. EPA provides assistance to states to implement nonpoint source controls under other programs such as the Chesapeake Bay Program, the Gulf of Mexico Program, and the Clean Lakes Program.

Contacts

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, headquartered in Washington, DC, operates 10 regional offices.

U.S. EPA, Region 1 (CT, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT) John F. Kennedy Federal Building One Congress Street Boston, MA 02203 TEL: (617) 565-3420 FAX: (617) 565-3660

U.S. EPA, Region 2

(NJ, NY, PR, VI) 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007 TEL: (212) 637-3000 FAX: (212) 637-3526

U.S. EPA, Region 3

(DC, DE, MD, PA, VA, WV) 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, PA 19107 TEL: (215) 566-5000 FAX: (215) 566-5103

U.S. EPA, Region 4

(AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN) 61 Forsyth Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30303 TEL: (404) 562-9900 FAX: (404) 562-8174

U.S. EPA, Region 5

(IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI) 77 W. Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604 TEL: (312) 353-2000 FAX: (312) 353-4135

U.S. EPA, Region 6

(AR, LA, NM, OK, TX) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733 TEL: (214) 665-6444 FAX: (214) 665-2146

U.S. EPA, Region 7

(1A, KS, MO: NE) 726 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101 TEL: (913) 551-7000 FAX: (913) 551-7467

U.S. EPA, Region 8

(CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY) 999 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-2466 TEL: (303) 312-6312 FAX: (303) 312-6339

U.S. EPA, Region 9

(AS, AZ, CA, GU, HI, MP, NV, TT) 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 TEL: (415) 744-1305 FAX: (415) 744-1514

U.S. EPA, Region 10

(AK, ID, OR, WA) 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-9797 TEL: (206) 553-1200 FAX: (206) 553-0149

U.S. EPA, Headquarters

Office of Water 401 M Street, SW Washington, DC 20460 TEL: (202) 260-5700 FAX: (202) 260-5711

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

Rt / 5 — 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

E E S O I E C E I N E O R M A T I O N





DIRECTORY OF POULTRY ASSOCIATIONS STATE, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL

The following state, reganizations are listed in alphabetical order. The organizations in most states are therefore listed together; however, if you are looking for a particular association, please consult the entire list. The Wilkes Area Poultry Association, for example, is listed under W, not under North Carolina. We hope that we have not inadvertently omitted or incorrectly identified any organization or its address. This material will be updated from time to time.

ALABAMA POULTRY & EGG ASSOCIATION

P.O. Box 240 Montgomery, AL 36104-0240

TEL: (334) 265-2732 FAX: (334) 265-0008

John Q. Adams, Executive Director www.johnnyadams@apend_link.net

ALASKA DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE

P.O. Box 949

Palmer, AK 99645

TEL: (907) 745-7200

FAX: (907) 745-7112

Doug Warner, Development Specialist

Douglasw@dnr.state.ak.us

AMERICAN EGG BOARD

1460 Renaissance Drive

Park Ridge, IL 60068

TEL: (847) 296-7043 FAX: (847) 296-7007

Louis Raffel, President

Louis Kariei, President

www.aeb.org

AMERICAN POULTRY ASSOCIATION

26363 South Tucker Road Estacada, OR 97023

TEL: (508)·473-8769

Karen Poor

ARIZONA POULTRY IMPROVEMENT BOARD

37860 West Smith

Enke Road

Maricopa, AZ 85239

TEL: (520) 568-2273

FAX: (520) 568-2556 Dr. Ed Bicknell

ARIZONA POULTRY FEDERATION

c/o Hickman's Egg Ranch

7403 North 91st Avenue

Glendale, AZ 85305

-TEL: (602) 872-1120 FAX: (602) 872-9220

Glenn Hickman, Director

ARKANSAS POULTRY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 1446

Little Rock, AR 72203-1446

TEL: (501) 375-8131

FAX: (501) 375-5519

Don Allen, Senior Vice President

CALIFORNIA EGG COMMISSION

1150 North Mountain Avenue

Suite 114

Upland, CA 91786 .

TEL: (909) 981-4923

FAX: (909) 946-5563

Robert D. Pierre, President

www.eggcom.com

CALIFORNIA POULTRY INDUSTRY

FEDERATION '

3117 AMcHenry Avenue Modesto, CA 95350

TEL: (209) 576-6355

FAX: (209) 576-6119

Bill Mattos, President

http://www.cpif.org

COLORADO POULTRY IMPROVEMENT BOARD

4816 E County Road, #30

Ft Collins, CO 80525

TEL: (970) 226-3680

1611 (7/0) 220-3000

William C. Lower, Secretary/Treasurer

CONNECTICUT POULTRY ASSOCIATION

Department of Agriculture 165 South Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06106 TEL: (203) 566-5268 FAX: (203) 566-8791

Director, Bruce Sherman DELAWARE POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

ASSOCIATION

RD 6, Box 48 Georgetown, DE 19947 TEL: (302) 856-7303

FAX: (302) 856-1845 Daniel Palmer, Poultry Specialist

39976@udel.edu

DELMARVA POULTRY INDUSTRY, INC.

RD 6, Box 47 Germantown, DE 19947-9575

TEL: (302) 856-9037 FAX: (302) 856-1845

Bill Satterfield, Executive Director

FLORIDA POULTRY FEDERATION

4508 Oak Fair Boulevard, Suite 290

Tampa, FL 33610

TEL: (813) 628-4551

FAX: (813) 620-4008

Charles R.Smith, Executive Director

GEORGIA EGG ASSOCIATION & COMMISSION

16 Forest Parkway Forest Park, GA 30297 TEL: (404) 363-7661 FAX: (404) 363-7664

Robert Howell, Executive Director

GEORGIA POULTRY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 763

Gainesville, GA 30503-0763 TEL: (770) 532-0473

FAX: (770) 532-7543

Abit Massey, Executive Director

GEORGIA POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

ASSOCIATION

P.O. Box 20

Oakwood, GA 30566

TEL: (770) 535-5996

FAX: (770) 539-1948

James Scroggs, Director

HAWAII FRYER COUNCIL

1818 Kanakanui Street Honolulu, HI 96819

TEL: (808) 841-2828

E.F. Morado, President

HAWAII EGG PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION

841 Bishop Street, Suite 850 Honolulu, HI 96813 TEL: (808) 522-5133

FAX: (808) 522-5144

Vernon Char, Attorney

IDAHO POULTRY INDUSTRY

c/o Merrill Poultry Farms, Inc.

Rt. 2, Box 2184

Paul, ID 83347

TEL: (208) 438-4605

FAX: (208) 438-8694

Lloyd Merrill, President

ILLINOIS POULTRY INDUSTRY COUNCIL

282 Animal Science Lab

1207 West Gregory

Urbana, IL 61801

TEL: (217) 244-0195

FAX: (217) 333-7861

Kenneth W. Koelkebeck, Executive Secretary

ILLINOIS STATE TURKEY GROWERS

ASSOCIATION

9193 Tampico Road

Rock Falls, IL 61071 TEL: (815) 438-2580

Merle Gaulrapp, Director

IOWA POULTRY ASSOCIATION

535 East Lincoln Way

P.O. Box 704

Ames, la 50010-0704

TEL: (515) 232-2103

FAX: (515) 232-2825

Kevin Vinchattle, Executive Director

IOWA TURKEY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 825

Ames, IA 50010-0825

TEL: (515) 232-7493

FAX: (515) 232-2825

Gretta Irwin, Executive Director

Kansas poultry association & Kansas

TURKEY FEDERATION Kansas State University

Department of Animal Science

130 Call Hall

Manhattan, KS 66506

TEL: (913) 532-1201

FAX: (913) 532-5681

John Miller, President

sveyer@oz.oznet.ksu.edu

KENTUCKY POULTRY FEDERATION/EGG

COUNCIL

P.O. Box 21829

Lexington, KY 40522-1829

TEL: (606) 266-8375

FAX: (606):266-8375

Carole Knobbett, Executive Director

KENTUCKY POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

ASSOCIATION

604 Garrigus Building

Lexington, KY 40546

TEL: (606) 257-7529

FAX: (606) 258-1027

Anthony Pescatore

LOUISIANA POULTRY FEDERATION

241 Knapp Hall Louisiana State Univesity Baton Rouge, LA 70803 TEL: (504) 388-8667 FAX: (504) 388-2478

Rosilyn Williams, Poultry Specialist rwilliams@agctr.lsu.edu

MAINE POULTRY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 228 Augusta, ME 04330-0228 TEL: (207) 622-4443 FAX: (207) 623-3748

William Bell, Executive Director newengag@mint.net

MARYLAND EGG COUNCIL, INC.

3109 Animal Science Center University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742 TEL: (301) 405-5775 FAX: (301) 314-9557 Dr. John Doerr, Chairman

MASSACHUSETTS POULTRY ASSOCIATION

22 Kimball Place Fitchburg, MA 01420 TEL: (508) 345-4103 FAX: (508) 345-7187 Richard Francis, Directo

Richard Francis, Director of Operations

MIDWEST POULTRY FEDERATION & MINNESOTA TURKEY GROWERS ASSN.

2380 Wycliff Street 5t Paul MN 55114 TEL: (612) 646-4553 FAX: (612) 646-4554 Jodi Day, Executive Director mnturkey@aol.com

MIDWEST UNITED EGG PRODUCERS

P.O. Box 170 124 North Second Street Eldridge, IA 52748 TEL: (319) 285-9100 FAX: (319) 285-9109 Gerald Weber, President

MISSISSIPPI POULTRY ASSOCIATION, INC.

P.O. Box 13309 Jackson, MS 39236-3309 TEL: (601) 355-0248 FAX: (601) 353-3840 Mike McAlpin, President

MISSOURI POULTRY FEDERATION

225 East Capital
Jefferson City, MO 65101
TEL: (573) 761-5610
FAX: (573) 761-5619
JoAnn Manhart, Executive Director

NATIONAL BROILER COUNCIL

The Madison Building, Suite 615 1155 15th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-2706 TEL: (202) 296-2622 FAX: (202) 293-4005

NATIONAL GOOSE COUNCIL, INC.

7 Oak Street West, P.O. Box 267 Sisseton, SD 57262-0267 TEL: (605) 698-7651 Marlin Schiltz, President

NATIONAL INDEPENDENT POULTRY & FOOD DISTRIBUTORS ASSN.

958 McEver Rd., Ext. B5 Gainesville, GA 30554 TEL: (770) 535-9901 FAX: (770) 535-7385

Kristin McWhorter Braun, Executive Director

MATIONAL TURKEY FEDERATION

1225 New York Avenue NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 TEL: (202) 898-0100 FAX: (202) 898-0203

NEBRASKA POULTRY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION

A 103 Animal Sciences University of Nebraska P.O. Box 830908 Lincoln, NE 68583-0908 TEL: (402) 472-2051 FAX: (402) 472-6362 Susan S. Joy, General Manager

Stuart L. Procter, President

NEW HAMPSHIRE POULTRY GROWERS ASSOCIATION

20 Goodhue Road Boscawen, NH 03303 TEL: (603) 796-2890

NEW YORK STATE POULTRY COODNINATED

EFFORT, INC. 5411 Davison Road Clerance, NY 14031 TEL: (716) 759-6802 Kurt Kreher, President

NORTH CAROLINA EGG ASSOCIATION

1150 SE Maynard Rd., Suite 130 Cary, NC 27511 TEL: (919) 319-1195 FAX: (919) 319-1196 Jan Dorsey, Executive Director

NORTH CAROLINA POULTRY FEDERATION &

TURKEY FEDERATION
4020 Barrett Drive, Suite 102
Raleigh, NC 27609
TEL: (919) 783-8218
FAX: (919) 783-8220
Ed Woodhouse, Executive Director

DIRECTORY OF POULTRY ASSOCIATIONS

NORTHWEST EGG PRODUCERS COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

540 Kenneth Court SE Olympia, WA 98503

TEL: (360) 412-0662

FAX: (360) 412-0665

Helen Tomicic, Regional Manager

OHIO POULTRY ASSOCIATION

5930 Sharon Wood Blvd.

Columbus, OH 43229

TEL: (614) 882-6111

FAX: (614) 882-9444

Jack Heavenridge, Executive Vice President

OKLAHOMA EGG COUNCIL

201 Animal Science Building

Stillwater, OK 74078 TEL: (405) 744-6058

FAX: (405) 744-7390

Joe Berry, Poultry Specialist

OKLAHOMA STATE POULTRY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 1446

Little Rock, AR 72203

TEL: (501) 375-8131

FAX: (501) 375-5519

Randy Wyatt, Contact

OREGON BROILER GROWERS ASSOCIATION

Shady Oak Farm

84380-N Cloverdale Road

Creswell, OR 97426-9431

TEL: (503) 746-2074

Shirley McGuire, Secretary

David Johnson, President

oregon poultry industries council

P.O. Box 3003 Portland, OR 97208-3003

TEL: (503) 777-1320

FAX: (503) 777-2373

Steven Wagner, President

PENNSYLVANIA POULTRY FEDERATION

500 North Progress Avenue Harrisburg, PA 17109

TEL: (717) 652-7530

FAX: (717) 652-0230

John D. Hoffman, Executive Director

SOUTH CAROLINA POULTRY FEDERATION

1201 Main Street, Suite 1220

AT&T Building

Columbus, SC 29201

TEL: (803) 748-1283

FAX: (803) 748-1294

Connie P. Smith, Executive Director

SOUTH DAKOTA POULTRY INDUSTRY

P.O. Box 2170

Brookings, SD 57007

TEL: (605) 688-5165

FAX: (605) 688-6170

Wendall Carlson, Secretary/Treasurer

u.s. Poultry & egg association

1530 Cooledge Road

Tucker, GA 30084-7303

TEL: (770) 493-9401.

FAX: (770) 493-9257

Don Dalton, President

Internet: http:/www.poultryegg.org

SOUTHERN UNITED EGG PRODUCERS

P.O. Box 556

Tucker, GA 30085

TEL: (770) 491-1120

FAX: (770) 491-1145

David Reesman, President

TENNESSEE EGG & POULTRY ASSOCIATION

P.O. Box 11082

Knoxville, TN 37939-1082

TEL: (423) 974-7351

FAX: (423) 974-9043

Dr. Carolyn Miller, President

TENNESSEE POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

BOARD, INC

P.O. Box 40627, Melrose Station

Nashville, TN 37204

TEL: (615) 837-5120

FAX: (615) 837-5335

Mark Farrar, Administrator

TEXAS POULTRY FEDERATION

8140 Burnet Road

P.O. Box 9589

Austin, TX 78766-9589

TEL: (512) 451-6816

FAX: (512) 451-5142

James Grimm, Executive Director

UNITED EGG ASSOCIATION

One Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20001 TEL: (202) 842-2345

FAX: (202) 682-0775

Christine Nelson, Legislative Director

UNITED EGG PRODUCERS

1303 Hightower Trail, Suite 200

Atlanta, GA 30350 TEL: (770) 587-8571

FAX: (770) 587-0041

Al Pope, President

USA POULTRY & EGG EXPORT COUNCIL

2300 West Park Place Boulevard, Suite 100

Stone Mountain, GA 30087

TEL: (770) 413-0006

FAX: (770) 413-0007

James Sumner, President

UTAH TURKEY MARKETING BOARD

P.O. Box 368

Moroni, UT 84646

TEL: (801) 436-8221

FAX: (801) 436-8101

Dave Bailey, President

VERMONT POULTRY IMPROVEMENT BOARD

116 State Street

Drawer 20

Montipelier, VT 05620-2901

TEL: (802) 828-2500

FAX: (802) 828-2361

Russell Lassocky, Director

VIRGINIA EGG COUNCIL, INC.

911 Saddleback Court

McLean, VA 22102

TEL: (703)790-1984

FAX: (703) 821-6748

Cecilia Glembock, Executive Director

VIRGINIA POULTRY FEDERATION

P.O. Box 552

Harrisonburg, VA 22801

TEL: (540) 433-2451

FAX: (540) 433-3256

John Johnson, President

WASHINGTON POULTRY INDUSTRY

ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 370

Rochester, WA-98579

TEL: (360) 273-5984

FAX: (360) 273-6901

Rod Smart, President

WASHINGTON POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

ASSOCIATION

3825 154 Avenue, NE

Redmond, WA 98052

TEL: (206) 885-1414

FAX: (206) 885-3305

Tony Blanch, Secretary/Treasurer

WEST VIRGINIA POULTRY ASSOCIATION

P.O. 612

Moorefield, WV 26836-0612

TEL: (304) 538 2725

Grover See, Executive Secretary

WILKES AREA POULTRY ASSOCIATION

541 Gaither Road

Statesville, NC 28625

TEL: (704) 872-6227

FAX: (704)872-1452

Jim Sutton, Chairman

WISCONSIN POULTRY IMPROVEMENT

ASSOCIATION .

260 Animal Science Building

1675 Observatory Drive

Madison, WI 53706-1248 TEL: (608) 262-9764

FAX: (608) 262-5157

Louis C. Arrington, Professor

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM
6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411

Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

DIRECTORY OF POULTRY ASSOCIATIONS 5

PIGEON.0754





OTHER SUPPORTING USDA AGENCIES

Farm Service Agency

The USDA's Farm Service Agency supports the U.S. agricultural community through commodity programs, farmer operating and emergency loans, conservation, domestic and overseas food assistance and disaster programs that improve the economic stability of agriculture and the environment. These programs help farmers produce an adequate food supply, compete for export sales, and keep consumer prices reasonable while caring for the environment and natural resources.

The Farm Service Agency's mandate is to assure a continuous supply of food and fiber for all Americans, and to promote sound resource management systems. As part of this mandate, it works with poultry producers to share the costs of solving erosion and water quality problems that result from nonpoint source pollution.

Services Available to Growers

The Farm Service Agency administers low-cost loans and cost-share programs. Under the latter, it is authorized to share with producers up to 60 percent of the cost of some conservation practices, including the building waste storage facilities such as lagoons, dry-stacks, and composting units.

Contact

For more information about cost-share programs, call or visit the FSA office listed in your telephone directory.

Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service

The Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES) links the research and education programs of the USDA and works with land-grant institutions to advance a global system of research, extension, and higher education in the food and agricultural sciences. Its overall mission emphasizes partnerships with the public and private sectors to maximize effectiveness and to improve economic, environmental, and social conditions in the United States.

Services Available to Growers

Educational programs to protect natural resources and the environment, to manage waste efficiently, and to deal with water quality are included in the national priority initiatives of the State Cooperative Research, Education, and Extension System. The Service (which is probably better known simply as Cooperative Extension) is internationally known as a leader in providing community access to research and education. Its publications are widely available and many of them are on the Internet.

Contact

For more information about the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, call or visit the CSREES office listed in your telephone directory under local government.

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water for everyone.

RI / 7 --- 9/98

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

RCEINFORMATION





DIRECTORY OF STATE WATER QUALITY AGENCIES

ALABAMA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION

COMMITTEE

P.O. Box 304800

Montgomery, AL 36130-4800

TEL: (334) 242-2620

FAX: (334)242-0551

Stephen Cauthen, Executive Director

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

1751 Cong., W.L. Dickerson Drive

Montgomery, AL 36109

TEL: (337) 271-7700

FAX: (337) 271-7950

Jim Warr, Director

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES

Department of Agriculture

P.O. Box 949 Palmer, AK 99645-0949

TEL: (907) 745-7200

FAX: (907) 745-7112

Jalmar Kerttula, Director

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL

CONSERVATION

410 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 105

Juneau, AK 99801-1795

TEL: (907) 465-5000

FAX: (907) 465-5274

Michele Brown, Commissioner

arizona soil & water conservation

AGENCY

Natural Resource Conservation Division

1616 West Adams, Room 419

Phoenix, AZ 85007

TEL: (602) 542-4625

FAX: (602) 542-4668

Robert Young, Director

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

3033 N. Central Avenue

Phoenix, AZ 85012

TEL: (602) 207-4512

FAX: (602) 207-2218

Russell T. Rhoades, Director

ARKANSAS SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION

101 E. Capitol, Suite 350

Little Rock, AR 72201 Phone (501) 682-1611

FAX: (501) 682-3991

Randy Young, Executive Director

CALIFORNIA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION

AGENCY

P.O. Box 944213

Sacramento, CA 94244-2130

Phone (916) 657-0687

FAX: (916) 657-2388

Jesse Diaz, Chief

CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES

CONTROL BOARD P.O. Box 100

Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 TEL: (916) 657-1727

FAX: (916) 657-0932

Walt Pettit, Executive Director

COLORADO STATE SOIL CONSERVATION

BOARD

1313 Sherman Street, Room 219 Denver, CO 80203-2243

TEL: (303) 866-3351

FAX: (303) 832-8106

Daniel Parker, Division Director

COLORADO WATER QUALITY AGENCY

Water Quality Control Division

300 Cherry Creek South Denver, CO 80222

TEL: (303) 692-3500

FAX: (303) 782-0390

CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

79 Elm Street

Hartford, CT 06106

TEL: (860) 424-3704

FAX: (860) 424-4067

Robert Smith, Bureau Chief

FORMATION

DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

Division of Water Resources 89 Kings Highway, Box 1401 Dover, DE 19903 TEL: (302) 739-4860 FAX: (302) 739-3491

Gerard L. Espasito, Director

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

TEL: (904) 487-1855 FAX: (904) 487-3618

Memi Drew, Director

GEORGIA STATE SOIL & WATER

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P.O. Box 8024

Athens, GA 30603

TEL: (706) 542-3065

FAX: (706) 542-4242

Graham Liles, Executive Director

GEORGIA WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

7 Martin Luther King Drive, Suite 643

Atlanta, GA 30334

TEL: (404) 656-4988

FAX: (404) 657-7031

Mark Winn, Program Manager

HAWAII DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

P.O. Box 621

Honolulu, HI 96809

TEL: (808)-587-0214 FAX: (808) 587-0219

Rae Loui, Deputy Director

HAWAII DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

919 Ala Moana Blvd., 3rd Floor

Honolulu, HI 96814

TEL: (808) 586-4337

FAX: (808) 586-4376

June Garrigan, Manager

IDAHO SOIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P.O. Box 83720

Boise, ID 83720-0083

TEL: (208) 334-0210

FAX: (208) 334-2339

Wayne R. Faude, Administrator

IDAHO DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL

QUALITY

1410 N. Hilton

Boise, ID 83706

TEL: (208) 373-0502

FAX: (208) 373-0417

Wally Cory, Administrator

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

P.O. Box 19281

State Fairgrounds

Springfield, IL 62794-9281

TEL: (217) 782-6297

FAX: (217) 524-4882

Alan Gualso, Water Quality Coordinator.

ILLINOIS DIVISION OF WATER POLLUTION

CONTROL Box 19276

2200 Churchill Road

Springfield, IL 62794

TEL: (217) 782-3362 Fax:(217) 782-5549

Jim Park, Bureau Chief

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES

Division of Soil Conservation 402 W. Washington Street, Room 265W

Indianapolis, IN 46204

TEL: (317) 233-3870

FAX: (317) 233-3882

Harry Nikides, Director

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

100 Senate, P.O. Box 6015

Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015

TEL: (317) 232-8406

FAX: (317) 232-8476

Jan Henley, Assistant Commissioner

IOWA DIVISION OF SOIL CONSERVATION

Wallace State Office Building

Des Moines, IA 50319

TEL: (515) 281-6143

FAX: (515) 281-6170

Jim Gulliford, Division Director

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES

Water Quality Planning Division 502 E. 9th Street

Des Moines, IA 50319-0034

TEL: (515) 281-5145

FAX: (515) 281-8895

Larry Wilson, Director

Kaņsas state conservation

COMMISSION

109 S.W. Ninth Street, Suite 500 Topeka, KS 66612-1200

TEL: (913) 296-3600

FAX: (913) 296-6172

Tracy Street, Executive Director

KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH &

ENVIRONMENT

Landon State Office Building, Room 620

Topeka, KS 66612-1290 TEL: (585) 296-1522

FAX: (785) 368-6368

Gary R. Mitchell, Secretary

KENTUCKY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION

663 Teton Trail Frankfort, KY 40601 TEL: (502) 564-3080 FAX: (502) 564-9195 Stephen Coleman, Director

KENTUCKY DIVISION OF WATER-NONPOINT SOURCES

14 Reilly Road Frankfort, KY 40601 TEL: (502) 546-3410 FAX: (502) 564-4245 Jack A. Wilson, Director

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY

Office of Soil & Water Conservation P.O. Box 3554 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-3554 TEL: (504) 922-1270 FAX: (504) 922-2577 Bradley Spicer, Executive Director

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

P.O. Box 82263 Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2263 TEL: (504) 765-0741 FAX: (504) 765-0746 J. Dale Givens, Secretary

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. FOOD & RURAL RESOURCES

State House Station 28 Augusta, ME 04333 TEL: (207) 287-1132 FAX: (207) 287-7548 Peter Mosher, Director

MAINE BUREAU OF LAND & WATER QUALITY CONTROL

Department of Environmental Protection State House Number 17 Augusta, ME 0433 . TEL: (207) 287-3901 FAX: (207) 287-7191 Martha Kirkpatrick, Director

MARYLAND STATE SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMITTEE

Maryland Department of Agriculture Annapolis, MD 21401 TEL: (410) 841-5863 FAX: (410) 841-5914

Louise Lawrence, Executive Director

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Waturshed Management Administration 2500 Broening Highway Baltimore, MD 21224 TEL: (410) 631-3552 FAX: (410) 613 3888 Jan Nishida, Secretary

MASSACHUSETTS STATE COMMISSION FOR THE CONSERVATION OF SOIL

100 Cambridge Street, 14th Floor Boston, MA 02202 TEL: (617) 727-1552 FAX: (617) 727-1598 Joel Learner, Director

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1 Winter Street Boston, MA 02108 TEL: (617) 292-5500 FAX: (617) 556-1049 Dave Terry, Director

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

P.O. Box 30017 Lansing, MI 48909 TEL: (517) 373-1052 FAX: (517) 335-1423 Dan Wyant, Director

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Surface Water Quality Division P.O. Box 30273 Lansing, MI 48909 TEL: (517) 373-2867 FAX: (517) 373-9958 Bob Miller, Chief

MINNESOTA BOARD OF WATER & SOIL RESOURCES

1 West Water Street Suite 200 St. Paul, MN 55107 TEL: (612) 296-3767 FAX: (612) 297-5615 Ron Harneck, Executive Director

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

St.Paul,MN 55155 TEL: (612) 296-6300 EAX: (612) 297-8687 Peter Larson, Commissioner.

520 Lafayette Road

MISSISSIPPI SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P.O. Box 23005 Jackson, MS 39225-3005 TEL: (601) 354-7645 FAX: (601) 354-6628 Gale Martin, Executive Director

DIRECTORY OF STATE WATER QUALITY AGENCIES

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

P.O. Box 10385 Jackson, MS 39289-0385 TEL: (601) 961-5171

FAX: (601) 354-6612

J.L. Palmer Jr., Executive Director

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102 TEL: (573) 751-4932 FAX: (573) 526-3508 Sarah Fast, Director

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

P.O. Box 201601, 1625 Eleventh Avenue Helena, MT 59620-1606 TEL: (406) 444-6667 FAX: (406) 444-6721 John Tubbs, Bureau Chief

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1530 E. 6th Avenue Helena, MT 59620-0901 TEL: (406) 444-2406 FAX: (406) 444-1374 Mark Simonick, Director

NEBRASKA NATURAL RESOURCES

COMMISSION
301 Centennial Mall South, P.O. Box 94876
Lincoln, NE 68509-4876
TEL: (402) 471-2081
FAX: (402) 471-3132
Dayle Williamson, Director

NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

P.O. Box 98922 Lincoln, NE 68509 TEL: (402) 471-2186 FAX:(402) 471-2909 Randy Wood, Director

NEVADA STATE DIVISION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS

333 W. Nye Lane, Room 126 Carson City, NV 89710 TEL: (702) 687-6977 FAX: (702) 687-3783 Pete Morris, Director

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION &

NATURAL RESOURCES 333 W. Nye Lane Carson City, NV 89706-0851 TEL: (702) 687-4670 FAX: (702) 687-5856 L.H. Dodgin, Administrator

NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

P.O. Box 2042 Concord, NH 03302-2042 TEL: (603) 271-3551 FAX: (603) 271-1109 Stephen H. Taylor, Commissioner

NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

P.O. Box 95 6 Hazen Drive Concord, NH 03302-0095 TEL: (603) 271-3503 FAX: (603) 271-2867 Robert W. Varney, Commissioner

NEW JERSEY STATE SOIL CONSERVATION COMMITTEE

New Jersey Department of Agriculture P.O Box 330, Room 204 Trenton, NJ 08625 TEL: (609) 292-5540 FAX: (609) 633-7229 Samuel R. Race, Executive Secretary

NEW JERSEY BUREAU OF WATER QUALITY PLANNING

401 East State Street, P.O. Box 029 Trenton, NJ 08625-0029 TEL: (609) 633-7021 FAX: (609) 984-2147 Barry Chalofsky, Bureau Director

NEW MEXICO SOIL & WATER

CONSERVATION BUREAU
Energy & Forestry Resource Conservation
P.O. Box 1948
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1948
TEL. (505) 827-5830
FAX: (505) 827-3903
Toby Martinez, Director

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL

DEPARTMENT
Purchase Water Quality Bureau
P.O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, NM 87502
TEL: (505) 827-0187
FAX: 505 (827-0160
Ed Kelley, Division Director

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION

1 Winners Circle Albany, NY 12235 TEL: (518) 457-3738 FAX: (518) 457-3412 Jim McCardell, Acting Director

NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

50 Wolf Road Room 310C

Albany, NY 12233-3500 TEL: (518) 457-6674

FAX: (518) 485-7786 N.G. Kaul, Director

NORTH CAROLINA DIVISION OF SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION

Box 27687

Raleigh, NC 27611-7687 TEL: (919) 733-2302

FAX: (919) 715-3559

Dewey Botts, Director

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF **ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES**

P.O. Box 27687

Raleigh, NC 27611

TEL: (919) 733-4064

FAX: (919) 733-3558

John N. Morris, Director

NORTH DAKOTA STATE SOIL

CONSERVATION COMMITTEE State Capitol

600 East Boulevard Avenue

Bismark, ND 58505-0790

TEL: (701) 328-2650

FAX: (701) 328-4143

Blake VanderVorst, Executive Secretary

NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

600 East Blvd., Avenue

Bismark, ND 58505-0200

TEL: (701) 328-2372

FAX: (701) 328-4727

Kenon Bullinger, Director

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Soil & Water Conservation District

1939 Fountain Square Court, Building E-2

Columbus, OH 43224

TEL: (614) 265-6610

FAX: (614) 262-2064

Larry Vance, Chief

OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENCY

1800 Watermark Drive

Columbus, OH 43215

TEL: (614) 644-3020

FAX: (614) 644-2329 Tom Behlen, Chief

OKLAHOMA CONSERVATION COMMISSION

2800 N. Lincoln Boulevard, Suite 160

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

TEL: (405) 521-2384

FAX: (405) 521-6686

Mason Mungle, Executive Director

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

1000 N.E. 10th Street, Suite 1212

Oklahoma City, OK 73117-1212

TEL: (405) 271-8056

FAX: (405) 271-8425

Mark Coleman, Executive Director

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Division

635 Capitol Street, NE

Salem, OR 97310

TEL: (503) 986-4700

FAX: (503) 986-4730

Charles Craig, Administrator

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

811 SW 6th Avenue

Portland, OR 97204-1390

TEL: (503) 229-5630

FAX: (503) 229-6124

Jim Giadson, Manager

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

P.O. Box 8465

Harrisburg, PA 17105-8465

TEL: (717) 787-2666

FAX: (717) 772-5156

Glenn Maurer, Director

PUERTO RICO NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

P.O. Box 364868

San Juan, PR 00936-4868

- TEL: (787) 766-5206

FAX: (787) 766-5987

Juan Martinez, State Conservationist

PUERTO RICO ENVIRONMENTAL

PROTECTION AGENCY 1492 Ponce De Leon Ave.

Centro Europa Building, Suite 417

San Juan, PR 00907-4127

TEL: (787) 729-6920

FAX: (787) 729-7747

Carl Axel Soderberg, Director

RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

235 Promenade Street

Providence, RI 02908 TEL: (401) 277-3961

FAX: (401) 277-6177

Andrew McLeod, Director

SOUTH CAROLINA LAND RESOURCES

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

2221 Devine Street, Suite 222 Columbia, SC 29205

TEL: (803) 734-9100

FAX: (803) 734-9200

Cary Chamblee, Deputy Director

DIRECTORY OF STATE WATER QUALITY AGENCIES

EEN ATHON

SOUTH CAROLINA BUREAU OF WATER

2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201

TEL: (803) 734-5228 FAX: (803) 734-5355

Alton Boozer, Chief

SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF

AGRICULTURE

523 East Capitol Avenue

Pierre, SD 57501-3182

TEL: (605) 773-3375 FAX: (605) 773-3481

Darrell Cruea, Secretary

SOUTH DAKOTA DIVISION OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

523 E. Capitol Pierre, SD 57501

TEL: (605) 773-4216

FAX: (605) 773-4368

Kelly Wheeler, Director

TENNESSEE STATE DEPARTMENT OF

AGRICULTURE

Agriculture Resources Division

Ellington Center

P.O. Box 40627

Nashville, TN 37204

TEL: (615) 360-0108

FAX: (615) 360-0637

Jim Nance, Director

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF

CONSERVATION & ENVIRONMENT

401 Church Street

6th Floor L&C Annex

Nashville, TN 37243-1534

TEL: (615) 532-0625

FAX: (615) 532-0614

Garland Wiggins, Deputy Director

TEXAS STATE SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION BOARD

P.O. Box 658

Temple, TX 76503

TEL: (817) 773-2250

FAX: (817) 773-3311

Robert G. Buckley, Executive Director

UTAH STATE SOIL CONSERVATION

COMMISSION

Department of Agriculture

P.O. Box 146500

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6500

TEL: (801) 538-7171

FAX: (801) 538-7126

Cary Peterson, Commissioner

UTAH DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY

288 N. 1460 West

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

TEL: (801) 538-6146

FAX: (801) 538-6016

Don Ostler, Director

VERMONT NATURAL RESOURCES

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

103 South Main Street

Waterbury, VT 05671-0301

TEL: (802) 241-3601 FAX: (802) 244-1102

.Barbara Ripley, Secretary

VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Agency of Natural Resources

Building 10 North

103 South Main Street, 2nd Floor

Waterbury, VT 05671-0408

TEL: (802) 241-3770

FAX: (802) 241-3287

Tom Willard, Chief

VIRGIN ISLANDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

& AGRICULTURE

Estate Lower Love Kingshill, VI 00850

TEL: (809) 778-0997

FAX: (809) 778-7977

Dr. Arthur Petersen, Commissioner

VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

396-1 Annas Retreat

St Thomas, VI 00802

TEL: (340) 777-4577

FAX: (340) 774-5416

Austin Moorehead, Director

VIRGIN ISLANDS DEPARTMENT OF

PLANNING & NATURAL RESOURCES 369-1 Foster Plaza

St. Thomas, VI 00802

TEL: (340) 774-3320

FAX: (340) 775-5006

Beulah Dalmida-Smith, Commissioner

VIRGINIA DIVISION OF SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION

203 Governor Street, Suite 206 Richmond, VA 23219

TEL: (804) 786-2064

FAX: (804) 786-1798

Jack E. Frye, Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON CONSERVATION

COMMISSION

P.O. Box 47721

Olympia, WA 98504-7721

TEL: (360) 407-6200

FAX: (360) 407-6215

Steven Myer, Executive Director

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600

TEL: (360) 407-6000 FAX: (360) 407-6426

Mary Riveland, Director.

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION COMMISSION

1900 Kanawha Boulevard East

Charleston, WV 25305-0193

TEL: (304) 558-2204 FAX: (304) 558-1635

Lance Tabor, Executive Director

WEST VIRGINIA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1201 Greenbrier Street

Charleston, WV 25311

TEL: (304) 558-2107 FAX: (304) 558-5905

Barbara Taylor, Chief

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES

Bureau of Watershed Management

P.O. Box 7921

Madison, WI 53707-7921

TEL: (608) 267-7610

FAX: (608) 267-2800 · ·

Paulette Harder, Director

WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

2219 Carey Avenue

Cheyenne, WY 82002

TEL: (307) 777-6579

FAX: (307) 777-6593

Ron Micheli, Director

WYOMING WATER DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Herschler Building

4th Floor

West Cheyenne, WY 82002

TEL: (307) 777-7626

FAX: (307) 777-6819

Mike Besson, Director

Other pages in this handbook contain more detailed information on these subjects. Permission is hereby granted to producers, growers, and associations serving the poultry industry to reproduce this material for further distribution. The Poultry Water Quality Consortium is a cooperative effort of industry and government to identify and adopt prudent uses of poultry by-products that will preserve the quality of water RI / 8 -- 12/97 for everyone.

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM

6100 Building, Suite 4300 • 5720 Uptain Road • Chattanooga, TN 37411

Tel: 423 855-6470 • Fax: 423 855-6607

DIRECTORY OF STATE WATER QUALITY AGENCIES 7

POULTRY WATER QUALITY CONSORTIUM 6100 Building, Suite 4300 5720 Uptain Road Chattanooga, TN 37411 Tel: 423 855-6470 Fax: 423 855-6607

PIGEON.0763